



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY
identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

ML

FILE:

[REDACTED]
[EAC 06 251 77525]

Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

Date: AUG 22 2007

IN RE:

Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Honduras who is applying for Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The director denied the application because the applicant failed to establish he was eligible for late registration. The director also denied the application because the applicant failed to establish his qualifying continuous residence and his continuous physical presence in the United States.

On appeal, the applicant asserts his claim of eligibility for TPS and submits some evidence in an attempt to support his claim.

Section 244(c) of the Act, and the related regulations in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2, provide that an applicant who is a national of a foreign state designated by the Attorney General is eligible for TPS only if such alien establishes that he or she:

- (a) Is a national, as defined in section 101(a)(21) of the Act, of a foreign state designated under section 244(b) of the Act;
- (b) Has been continuously physically present in the United States since the effective date of the most recent designation of that foreign state;
- (c) Has continuously resided in the United States since such date as the Attorney General may designate;
- (d) Is admissible as an immigrant except as provided under section 244.3;
- (e) Is not ineligible under 8 C.F.R. § 244.4; and
- (f)
 - (1) Registers for TPS during the initial registration period announced by public notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, or
 - (2) During any subsequent extension of such designation if at the time of the initial registration period:
 - (i) The applicant is a nonimmigrant or has been granted voluntary departure status or any relief from removal;
 - (ii) The applicant has an application for change of status, adjustment of status, asylum, voluntary departure, or any relief from removal which is pending or subject to further review or appeal;

- (iii) The applicant is a parolee or has a pending request for reparole; or
- (iv) The applicant is a spouse or child of an alien currently eligible to be a TPS registrant.
- (g) Has filed an application for late registration with the appropriate Service director within a 60-day period immediately following the expiration or termination of conditions described in paragraph (f)(2) of this section.

The phrase *continuously physically present*, as defined in 8 C.F.R. § 244.1, means actual physical presence in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous physical presence in the United States by virtue of brief, casual, and innocent absences as defined within this section.

The phrase *continuously resided*, as defined in 8 C.F.R. § 244.1, means residing in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous residence in the United States by reason of a brief, casual and innocent absence as defined within this section or due merely to a brief temporary trip abroad required by emergency or extenuating circumstances outside the control of the alien.

Persons applying for TPS offered to Hondurans must demonstrate that they have continuously resided in the United States since December 30, 1998, and that they have been continuously physically present since January 5, 1999.

The burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that he or she meets the above requirements. Applicants shall submit all documentation as required in the instructions or requested by Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS). 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a). The sufficiency of all evidence will be judged according to its relevancy, consistency, credibility, and probative value. To meet his or her burden of proof the applicant must provide supporting documentary evidence of eligibility apart from his or her own statements. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(b).

On October 19, 2006, the applicant was requested to submit evidence to establish his eligibility for late registration as set forth in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2). The applicant was also requested to submit evidence establishing his continuous residence in the United States since December 30, 1998, and his continuous physical presence in the United States since January 5, 1999. In addition, the director requested the applicant to submit a copy of his identity document as well as the final court dispositions regarding his past arrest on March 11, 2004, for "Theft >=\$50 <\$500."

In response, the applicant submitted copies of the following documentation: the biographical pages his Honduran passport; the final court disposition regarding his arrest on March 11, 2004; a letter dated October 27, 2006, from [REDACTED] a receipt dated May 6, 1999, from Beepers and Communications; two hand-written receipts from ATC wireless and 4 a Wireless – Skypaging dated "10/11/2000," and May 8, 2006, respectively; and copies of various cash register and money order receipts that do not bear any name.

The director determined that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the applicant's qualifying continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States. The director also determined that the applicant failed to establish his eligibility for TPS late registration. Therefore, the director denied the application on December 12, 2007.

On appeal, the applicant asserts that he has lived in the United States since 1998, and that he has answered all requests for documents that he has received from CIS. The applicant also provides the following copies of additional evidence: an insurance declaration dated November 22, 2006, from Bristol West; an application for certificate of title and the title for his vehicle issued on November 22, 2006; a receipt dated November 22, 2006, from Airways Auto Tag, LLC; Western Union money transfer receipts dated August 18, 2006, October 28, 2006, November 19, 2006, December 19, 2006, and December 20, 2006; and cash register and money order receipts from various merchants that do not bear any name.

The first issue in this proceeding is whether the applicant is eligible for late registration.

The initial registration period for Hondurans was from January 5, 1999, through August 20, 1999. The record reveals that the applicant filed her application with CIS, on June 8, 2006, almost seven years after the initial registration period had closed.

To qualify for late registration, the applicant must provide evidence that during the initial registration period he fell within at least one of the provisions described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2) above.

The applicant submits some evidence in an attempt to establish his qualifying continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States during the requisite time periods. However, this evidence does not mitigate the applicant's failure to file his Application for Temporary Protected Status within the initial registration period. The applicant has not submitted any evidence to establish that he has met any of the criteria for late registration described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2). Consequently, the director's decision to deny the application for TPS late registration will be affirmed.

The second issue in this proceeding is whether the applicant has established his qualifying continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States.

The applicant submits cash register as well as money order receipts from various merchants; however, these receipts do not bear any name; therefore, they have little, if any, evidentiary weight in these proceedings. The remaining evidence post-dates the beginning of the requisite time periods for continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States. The sufficiency of all evidence will be judged according to its relevancy, consistency, credibility, and probative value. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(b). The applicant has not submitted sufficient credible evidence to establish his continuous residence in the United States since December 30, 1998, and continuous physical presence in the United States since January 5, 1999. The applicant has, therefore, failed to establish that he has met the criteria described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2 (b) and (c). Consequently, the director's decision to deny the application for these reasons must also be affirmed.

Although not addressed by the director, the record of proceedings contains a Federal Bureau of Investigation report reflecting that the applicant was arrested for the following:

- (1) On March 11, 2004, the applicant was arrested by the Houston [Texas] Police Department and charged with "Theft >=\$50<\$500;" and,
- (2) On August 18, 2005, the applicant was arrested by the Metro-Dade [Florida] Police Department and charged with "Battery."

The record contains the final court disposition for the arrest detailed in No. 1 above, indicating that he was convicted of the offense on March 19, 2004. In any future proceedings before CIS, the applicant must also submit evidence of the final court disposition of his arrest detailed in No. 2, as well as any other charges against him.

The application will be denied for the above stated reasons, with each considered as an independent and alternative basis for denial. An alien applying for temporary protected status has the burden of proving that he or she meets the requirements enumerated above and is otherwise eligible under the provisions of section 244 of the Act. The applicant has failed to meet this burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.