



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

identifying data deleted to
prevent disclosure of unwaranted
invasion of personal privacy



111

FILE:

[WAC 05 104 483414]

Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

Date:

JAN 26 2007

IN RE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION:

Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration
and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "R. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is stated to be a citizen of Honduras who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The record reveals that the applicant filed a TPS application on June 28, 2001, under Citizenship and Immigration Service (CIS) receipt number WAC 01 244 58399. The director denied that application on November 14, 2003, due to abandonment.

The applicant filed a motion to reopen which was granted on December 7, 2004. On March 10, 2005, and again on April 11, 2005, the applicant was requested her to submit certified court dispositions of all her past arrests. On October 17, 2005, the director denied the initial application again due to abandonment because the applicant failed to submit evidence in order to establish her eligibility for TPS. After a review of the record, the Chief, AAO, concurs with the director's denial decision.

The applicant filed the current Form I-821, Application for Temporary Protected Status, on January 12, 2005, and indicated that she was re-registering for TPS.

The director denied the re-registration application because the applicant's initial TPS application had been denied and the applicant was not eligible to apply for re-registration for TPS.

If the applicant is filing an application as a re-registration, a previous grant of TPS must have been afforded the applicant, as only those individuals who are granted TPS must register annually. In addition, the applicant must continue to maintain the conditions of eligibility. 8 C.F.R. § 244.17.

In this case, the applicant has not previously been granted TPS. Therefore, she is not eligible to re-register for TPS. Consequently, the director's decision to deny the application will be affirmed.

There is no indication that the applicant was attempting to file a late initial application for TPS instead of an annual re-registration. Moreover, the record is also absent of the applicant's Honduran birth certificate to suggest that the applicant is eligible for late registration for TPS under 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2).

Beyond the decision of the director, it also is noted that the applicant has provided insufficient evidence to establish her qualifying continuous physical presence and continuous residence during the requisite time periods. 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(b) and (c). Therefore, the application will also be denied for these reasons.

Although not addressed by the director, the record of proceedings contains the Federal Bureau of Investigation report reflecting that the applicant was arrested on January 30, 2004, by the Riverside, California Sheriff's Office for "1 Count of DUI Alcohol/Drugs". CIS must address this arrest and/or any convictions in any future decisions or proceedings.

The application will be denied for the above stated reasons, with each considered as an independent and alternative basis for denial. An alien applying for temporary protected status has the burden of proving that he or she meets the requirements enumerated above and is otherwise eligible under the provisions of section 244 of the Act. The applicant has failed to meet this burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.