



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

MI

[REDACTED]

FILE:

[REDACTED]  
[EAC 06 259 74695]

OFFICE: Vermont Service Center

Date: NOV 05 2007

IN RE:

Applicant:

[REDACTED]

APPLICATION:

Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The applicant claims to be a citizen of Honduras who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The director denied the application after determining that the applicant had failed to establish eligibility to file a late initial registration or a qualifying residence and presence, and also noted that several other prior applications submitted by the applicant had been denied on the same grounds.

On appeal, the applicant asks that CIS approve his application.

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

The applicant asserts on his appeal that he needs to work, and asks that CIS approve his application. The AAO would note that the applicant has not submitted any evidence in support of his eligibility, and that, as the director pointed out, prior applications have been denied on the same grounds. Thus, without any evidence or a clear statement specifically identifying an erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact the AAO has nothing to review. Inasmuch as the applicant has failed to identify specifically an erroneous conclusion of law or a statement of fact in this proceeding, the appeal must be summarily dismissed.

As always in these proceedings, the burden of proof rests solely with the applicant. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361.

**ORDER:** The appeal is summarily dismissed.