



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



ML

FILE:



Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

Date: NOV 08 2007

[WAC 05 105 81418]

[[EAC 07 154 51545, motion]

IN RE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, California Service Center. A subsequent appeal was dismissed by the Director, Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The matter is before the AAO on a motion to reopen. The previous decision of the AAO will be affirmed, and the motion will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Honduras who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The director denied the re-registration application after determining that the applicant's initial TPS application had been denied and the applicant was not eligible to apply for re-registration for TPS.

Upon review of the record of proceeding, the AAO concurred with the director's conclusion and dismissed the appeal on April 3, 2007.

On motion to reopen, the applicant states that she has been in the United States since 1997 and has provided all of the requested evidence. However, the applicant failed to submit any probative evidence in an attempt to establish her eligibility for re-registration.

A motion to reopen must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding, and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(2). A motion that does not meet applicable requirements shall be dismissed. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(4).

The applicant's motion to reopen consists of a statement from the applicant and submission of non-probative evidence. As such, the issue on which the underlying decisions were based has not been overcome on motion.

Beyond the decision of the director, it is noted that the applicant provided a photocopy of the first page of her passport in an attempt to establish her nationality and her identification. However, the passport was signed by the applicant and issued in Honduras on July 26, 2000. This is evidence that the applicant has not met the continuous residence and physical presence criteria described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(b) and (c), thereby precluding a finding that the applicant was in the United States during the operable timeframe. Therefore, the application must be denied on this basis as well.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the applicant. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. That burden has not been met since the applicant has not provided any new facts or additional evidence to overcome the previous decision of the AAO. Accordingly, the motion to reopen will be dismissed and the previous decision of the AAO will not be disturbed.

ORDER: The motion to reopen is dismissed. The previous decision of the AAO dated April 3, 2007 is affirmed.