



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY
identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

ML

[Redacted]

FILE: [Redacted]

Office: Newark District

Date: **NOV 15 2007**

IN RE: Applicant: [Redacted]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

[Redacted]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.


for Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Temporary Protected Status (TPS) application was denied by the District Director, Newark, New Jersey, on December 12, 2003, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed as moot, because the designated period of TPS for Liberia terminated on August 25, 2004.¹

The applicant claims to be native and citizen of Liberia who is seeking TPS under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254 for the registration period ending October 1, 2004.

The applicant filed the current Application for Temporary Protected Status, Form I-821, on September 29, 2003. On August 25, 2004, the Department of Homeland Security announced the termination of prior designations of TPS for nationals of Liberia (or aliens having no nationality who last habitually resided in Liberia). As the designation period for which the applicant requests TPS has passed, approval of the application at this time would serve no practical effect since any decision rendered by the AAO would be subsequent to the date of the termination date of the authorized period.

An alien applying for TPS has the burden of proving that he or she meets the requirements enumerated above and is otherwise eligible under the provisions of section 244 of the Act. The applicant has failed to meet this burden.

ORDER: The application is summarily dismissed.

¹ It is noted that the applicant filed a subsequent application on November 5, 2004. That application is being remanded in a separate decision to the Newark District Office for further review.