



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

M1

FILE: [REDACTED]
[WAC 05 049 74584]

OFFICE: California Service Center

DATE: NOV 16 2007

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, California Service Center. It is now on appeal before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

Although the record includes a Form G-28, Notice of Entry of Appearance as Attorney or Representative, signed by [REDACTED] of the Pro-Immigrants Society, LLC, of Elizabeth, New Jersey, neither [REDACTED] nor the Pro-Immigrants Society, LLC, is listed in the rosters of accredited representatives and recognized organizations maintained by the Executive Office of Immigration Review (EOIR), Department of Justice. Accordingly, the AAO's decision will be sent only to the applicant.

The applicant is a citizen of Honduras who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The applicant filed an initial TPS application [EAC 02 244 50593] with the Vermont Service Center (VSC) on July 5, 2002. It was denied on May 20, 2003, by the VSC Director because it was filed after the close of the initial registration period for Honduran nationals on August 20, 1999, and the applicant failed to establish that he was eligible for late TPS registration under any of the criteria enumerated at 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2). The applicant filed an appeal, which was dismissed by the AAO on the same ground.

On November 18, 2004, the applicant filed the current TPS application [WAC 05 049 74584], which he identified as an application for re-registration or renewal of TPS. The application was denied by the CSC Director on July 23, 2005, on the ground that the applicant was ineligible to re-register for TPS because the initial TPS application had been denied.

The applicant filed an appeal (Form I-290B) on August 18, 2005, but simply requests that his case be "reopened" and that he be told "what information I need to submit." The applicant does not state any reason(s) for the appeal and indicates that he is not submitting a separate brief and/or evidence in support of the appeal.

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

Inasmuch as the applicant has failed to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in this proceeding, the appeal must be summarily dismissed.

As always in these proceedings, the burden of proof rests solely with the applicant. *See* section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.