

PUBLIC COPY

Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

M₁

[REDACTED]

FILE:

[REDACTED]

Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

Date: AUG 01 2008

[WAC 08 061 51233, motion]

[WAC 05 225 78419]

IN RE:

Applicant:

[REDACTED]

APPLICATION:

Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

[REDACTED]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the California Service Center. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, California Service Center. A subsequent appeal was dismissed by the Chief, Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The matter is now before the AAO on a second motion to reopen. The motion will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of El Salvador who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The applicant filed an initial Form I-821, Application for Temporary Protected Status, under receipt number SRC 01 194 50158 during the initial registration. The Director, Texas Service Center, denied that application on September 29, 2004, after determining that the applicant had abandoned xxhis application by failing to respond to a Notice of Intent to Deny.

Since the application was denied due to abandonment, there was no appeal available; however, the applicant could have filed a motion to reopen within 30 days from the date of the denial. The applicant did not file a motion to reopen during the requisite timeframe. After review of the record, the Chief, AAO, affirms the director's denial decision.

The applicant filed the current Form I-821 on May 13, 2005, and indicated that he was re-registering for TPS.

The director denied the re-registration application because the applicant's initial TPS application had been denied and the applicant was not eligible to apply for re-registration for TPS. A subsequent appeal from the director's decision was dismissed after the AAO Chief also concluded that the applicant had failed to establish eligibility for TPS.

A motion to reopen or reconsider must be filed within thirty days of the underlying decision, except that failure to file during this period may be excused at the Service's discretion when the applicant has demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

Whenever a person has the right or is required to do some act within a prescribed period after the service of a notice upon him and the notice is served by mail, three days shall be added to the prescribed period. Service by mail is complete upon mailing. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b).

The previous AAO decision was dated February 16, 2007. Any motion to reopen must be filed within thirty days after service of the decision. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i). Coupled with three days for mailing, the motion, in this case, should have been filed on or before March 21, 2007. The motion to reopen was received on December 26, 2007.

It is noted that the record reflects the applicant's statement that he has been arrested for driving under the influence, for driving a motorized vehicle without a driver's license and without proof of insurance. The final court dispositions for these three crimes have not been provided for the record.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the applicant. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. That burden has not been met because the motion to reopen was not filed within the required time

period. Accordingly, the motion to reopen is dismissed and the previous decision of the AAO will not be disturbed.

ORDER: The motion to reopen is dismissed.