

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., Rm. 3000  
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**



FILE:

[WAC 0504773156]

Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

Date:

**JAN 1 I 2008**

[redacted]-consolidated]

INRE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the  
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.c. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned  
to the California Service Center. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "R. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The applicant claims to be a citizen of Honduras who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.c. § 1254.

The record reflects that the applicant filed a TPS application subsequent to the initial registration period under Citizenship and Immigration Services receipt number SRC0125755362. The Director, Texas Service Center, denied the application because the applicant failed to: 1) establish that he was eligible for late registration; 2) submit the requested court dispositions; 3) establish his **qualifying** continuous residence in the United States during the requisite period; and 4) establish continuous physical presence in the United States during the requisite period.

The applicant filed the current TPS application on November 16, 2004, and indicated that he was re-registering for TPS. The director denied the re-registration application because the applicant's initial TPS application had been denied and the applicant was not eligible to apply for re-registration for TPS.

On appeal, the applicant does not address the basis for the denial of his application or provide any evidence to overcome the director's findings. The applicant merely indicated that a brief and/or evidence would be submitted within 30 days to the AAO. However, more than two years later, no additional correspondence has been presented by the applicant.

As stated in 8 C.P.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed. The applicant has failed to address the reasons stated for denial and has not provided any additional evidence on appeal. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.