U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave. N.W., Room A3042
Washington, DC 20529

B9

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

[redacted]

FILE: [redacted] Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER Date: SEP 01 2004
EAC 03 017 50087

IN RE: Petitioner: [redacted]
Beneficiary:

PETITION: Petition for Special Immigrant Battered Spouse Pursuant to Section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(iii)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

[redacted]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Mari Johnson

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

PUBLIC COPY

identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

DISCUSSION: The preference visa petition was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is a native and citizen of Guinea who is seeking classification as a special immigrant pursuant to section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(iii), as the battered spouse of a United States citizen.

The director denied the petition, finding that the petitioner failed to establish that he has been battered or the subject of extreme cruelty perpetrated by his U.S. citizen spouse.

On appeal, the petitioner submits his own statement.

Section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the Act provides, in pertinent part, that an alien who is the spouse of a United States citizen, who is a person of good moral character, who is eligible to be classified as an immediate relative, and who has resided with his or her spouse, may self-petition for immigrant classification if the alien demonstrates to the Attorney General that—

> (aa) the marriage or the intent to marry the United States citizen was entered into in good faith by the alien; and
>
> (bb) during the marriage or relationship intended by the alien to be legally a marriage, the alien or a child of the alien has been battered or has been the subject of extreme cruelty perpetrated by the alien's spouse or intended spouse.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.2(c)(1)(i) states, in pertinent part, that:

> A spouse may file a self-petition under section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) or 204(a)(1)(B)(ii) of the Act for his or her classification as an immigrant relative or as a preference immigrant if he or she:
>
> > (A) Is the spouse of a citizen or lawful permanent resident of the United States;
> >
> > (B) Is eligible for immigrant classification under section 201(b)(2)(A)(i) or 203(a)(2)(A) of the Act based on that relationship;
> >
> > (C) Is residing in the United States;
> >
> > (D) Has resided . . . with the citizen or lawful permanent resident spouse;
> >
> > (E) Has been battered by, or has been the subject of extreme cruelty perpetrated by, the citizen or lawful permanent resident during the marriage; or is the parent of a child who has been battered by, or has been the subject of extreme cruelty perpetrated by, the citizen or lawful permanent resident during the marriage;

(F) Is a person of good moral character; [and]

* * *

(H) Entered into the marriage to the citizen or lawful permanent resident in good faith.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.2(c)(2)(iv) states:

> *Abuse.* Evidence of abuse may include, but is not limited to, reports and affidavits from police, judges and other court officials, medical personnel, school officials, clergy, social workers and other social service agency personnel. Persons who have obtained an order of protection against the abuser or have taken other legal steps to end the abuse are strongly encouraged to submit copies of the relating legal documents. Evidence that the abused victim sought safe-haven in a battered women's shelter or similar refuge may be relevant, as may a combination of documents such as a photograph of the visibly injured self-petitioner supported by affidavits. Other forms of credible relevant evidence will also be considered. Documentary proof of non-qualifying abuses may only be used to establish a pattern of abuse and violence and to support a claim that qualifying abuse also occurred.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.2(c)(1)(vi) states, in pertinent part:

> *Battery or extreme cruelty.* For the purpose of this chapter, the phrase "was battered by or was the subject of extreme cruelty" includes, but is not limited to, being the victim of any act or threatened act of violence, including any forceful detention, which results or threatens to result in physical or mental injury. Psychological or sexual abuse or exploitation . . . shall be considered acts of violence. Other abusive actions may also be acts of violence under certain circumstances, including acts that, in and of themselves, may not initially appear violent but that are a part of an overall pattern of violence. The qualifying abuse must have been committed by the citizen or lawful permanent resident spouse, must have been perpetrated against the self-petitioner . . . and must have taken place during the self-petitioner's marriage to the abuser.

According to the evidence on the record, the petitioner wed United States citizen Sharonda Fisher on March 21, 2001 in New York City. The petitioner's spouse filed a Form I-130 petition on the petitioner's behalf that was approved. The petitioner's application for adjustment of status was denied on September 20, 2002 for lack of prosecution. On October 17, 2002, the petitioner filed a self-petition claiming eligibility as a special immigrant alien who has been battered by, or has been the subject of extreme cruelty perpetrated by, his U.S. citizen spouse during their marriage.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.2(c)(1)(i)(E) requires the petitioner to establish that he has been battered by, or has been the subject of extreme cruelty perpetrated by, the citizen or lawful permanent resident during the marriage; or is the parent of a child who has been battered by, or has been the subject of extreme cruelty perpetrated by, the citizen or lawful permanent resident during the marriage.

The qualifying abuse must have been sufficiently aggravated to have reached the level of "battery or extreme cruelty." 8 C.F.R. § 204.2(c)(1)(vi).

Because the petitioner furnished insufficient evidence to establish that he has been battered by or subjected to extreme cruelty by his citizen spouse, he was requested on June 9, 2003, to submit additional evidence. The director listed evidence the petitioner could submit to establish battery or extreme mental cruelty by his spouse.

The director, in his decision, reviewed and discussed the evidence furnished by the petitioner, including evidence furnished in response to his request for additional evidence. The discussion will not be repeated here.

On appeal, the petitioner submits a two-page statement outlining the abuse he endured from his spouse.

In review, the evidence is insufficient to establish that the petitioner was subjected to battery or extreme cruelty by his United States citizen spouse. The evidence consists of the following:

- Statements of the petitioner and several of his friends.

The petitioner asserted that his wife abused him by denigrating him alone and in the presence of others. He said that his wife complained that he was "not a man" because he had been impotent. He further stated that his wife caused him a bloody nose, but he failed to explain how she caused it. He said that his wife withdrew funds from their joint bank account, overdrawing the account. Finally, he said that he developed depression and self-doubt as the result of his wife's mistreatment.

It is noted that the petitioner failed to file a complaint with the police against his spouse. He failed to submit reports and affidavits from court officials, counselors, or social workers. The petitioner failed to submit evidence that he sought psychological or medical treatment for any abuse he endured. He did not submit evidence that he sought refuge in a shelter or elsewhere. He did not obtain an order of protection against his spouse or take other legal steps to end the abuse. His statements are insufficiently specific as to the exact harm he suffered from her spouse. Simply going on record without supporting documentary evidence is not sufficient for the purpose of meeting the burden of proof in these proceedings. *Matter of Treasure Craft of California*, 14 I&N Dec. 190 (Reg. Comm. 1972).

The evidence is insufficient to establish that the abuse he suffered rose to the level of battery or extreme cruelty.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not met that burden. Accordingly, the appeal will be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.