U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass, Rm. A3042, 425 I Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20529

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

C1

[redacted]

FILE: [redacted] Office: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER Date:

IN RE: Petitioner: [redacted]
Beneficiary:

PETITION: Petition for Special Immigrant Religious Worker Pursuant to Section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), as described at Section 101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(27)(C)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

[redacted]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

DISCUSSION: The Director, Texas Service Center, initially approved the employment-based immigrant visa petition on October 7, 1998. Upon further review and investigation, the director determined that the petition had been approved in error and properly served the petitioner with a Notice of Intent to Revoke, and gave the petitioner the opportunity to submit additional evidence. The director subsequently exercised her discretion to revoke approval of the petition. The petition is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The self-petitioner seeks classification as a special immigrant religious worker pursuant to section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), to perform services as a minister. The director determined that the petitioner had not established that his prospective employer qualified as a bona fide nonprofit religious organization or that it had the ability to pay the petitioner the proffered salary.

On appeal, the petitioner states that he has updated information on the church's finances and documentation to establish the employer's tax-exempt status. No documentation was submitted with the appeal. On the Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal to the Administrative Appeals Unit, the petitioner stated that he would be submitting a brief and/or evidence to the AAO within 30 days. However, as of the date of this decision, more than seven months after the appeal was filed, no further documentation has been received by the AAO. Therefore, the record will be considered complete as presently constituted.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) states, in pertinent part:

> An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

As the petitioner has failed to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or a statement of fact in this proceeding, the appeal must be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.