U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass, Rm. A3042, 425 I Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20536

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

C-1

[redacted]

FILE: WAC 01 218 50527 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: MAY 2 7 2004

IN RE: Petitioner: [redacted]
Beneficiary:

PETITION: Petition for Special Immigrant Religious Worker Pursuant to Section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), as described at Section 101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(27)(C)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER: SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Cindy N. Gomez for
Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The employment-based immigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now on appeal before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner indicates that it is a church. It seeks classification of the beneficiary as a special immigrant religious worker pursuant to section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), in order to employ him as a director of youth activities at an annual salary of $12,800.

The director denied the petition on January 8, 2003. The director determined that the petitioner had not established that the beneficiary had been continuously engaged in a qualifying religious vocation or occupation for the two years immediately preceding the filing date of the petition. The director also determined that the petitioner had not established its ability to pay the beneficiary the proffered wage.

The petitioner submits the following brief statement on appeal: "[P]etitioner complies with INS,[1] and provided INS with all documents and specefictaions [sic] requested." The petitioner also indicates that a brief and/or evidence will be submitted to the AAO within 30 days of filing the appeal. To date, no additional evidence has been received; therefore, the record will be considered complete.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) states, in pertinent part:

> An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

Inasmuch as the petitioner has failed to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or a statement of fact in this proceeding, the appeal must be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.

[1] The Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS), now known as Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS).