PUBLIC COPY

identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

D2

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass, Rm. A3042, 425 I Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20536

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

[redacted]

FILE: WAC 01 262 61737 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: FEB 10 2004

IN RE: Petitioner: [redacted]
Beneficiary

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

[redacted]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The service center director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will be denied.

The petitioner is a sport center that seeks to employ the beneficiary as a rhythmic gymnastics instructor. The petitioner endeavors to classify the beneficiary as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101 (a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The director denied the petition on the basis that the proffered position is not a specialty occupation.

Counsel submitted a timely Form I-290B on April 29, 2002 and indicated that a brief and/or additional evidence would be submitted to the AAO within 90 days. As of this date, however, the AAO has not received any additional evidence into the record. Therefore, the record is complete.

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

Counsel fails to specify how the director made any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in denying the petition. The Form I-290B statements about the matters to be presented in the brief do not suffice. Likewise, the form's short, general statements about the beneficiary's qualifications and the degree required to coach at the level proposed for the beneficiary do not specifically identify erroneous conclusions of law or statements of fact. Finally, counsel's letter accompanying the Form-1-290B do not identify errors in the denial of the petition.

As the petitioner does not present additional evidence on appeal to overcome the decision of the director, the appeal will be summarily dismissed in accordance with 8 C.F.R. 103.3(a)(1)(v).

The burden of proof in this proceeding rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.