identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass, Rm. A3042, 425 I Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20536

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

PUBLIC COPY

D2

MAY 13 2004

FILE: WAC 01 268 52164 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date:

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Mari Johnson

for Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The service center director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The director's decision will be withdrawn. The petition will be remanded to the director for entry of a new decision.

The petitioner is a general contractor. It seeks to employ the beneficiary as a surveyor, and endeavors to classify him as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The director denied the petition stating that the beneficiary was not qualified to perform the duties of a specialty occupation. On appeal, counsel submits a brief.

Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b), provides, in part, for the classification of qualified nonimmigrant aliens who are coming temporarily to the United States to perform services in a specialty occupation.

The director's determination denying the I-129 petition was based solely on the beneficiary's qualifications to perform the duties associated with that occupation.

The issue to be determined in this proceeding is whether the beneficiary qualifies to perform the duties of a specialty occupation.

Section 214(i)(2) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(i)(2), states that an alien applying for classification as an H-1B nonimmigrant worker must possess:

(A) full state licensure to practice in the occupation, if such licensure is required to practice in the occupation,

(B) completion of the degree described in paragraph (1)(B) for the occupation, or

(C) (i) completion of such experience in the specialty equivalent to the degree, and

(ii) recognition of expertise in the specialty through progressively responsible positions relating to the specialty.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(C), to perform services in a specialty occupation, the beneficiary must meet one of the following criteria:

(1) Hold a United States baccalaureate or higher degree required by the specialty occupation from an accredited college or university;

(2) Hold a foreign degree determined to be equivalent to a United States baccalaureate or higher degree required by the specialty occupation from an accredited college or university;

(3) Hold an unrestricted State license, registration or certification which authorizes him or her to fully practice the specialty occupation and be immediately engaged in that specialty in the state of intended employment; or

(4) Have education, specialized training, and/or progressively responsible experience that is equivalent to completion of a United States baccalaureate or higher degree in the specialty occupation, and have recognition of expertise in the specialty through progressively responsible positions directly related to the specialty.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(D), for purposes of paragraph (h)(4)(iii)(C)(4) of this section, equivalence to completion of a United States baccalaureate or higher degree shall mean achievement of a level of knowledge, competence, and practice in the specialty occupation that has been determined to be equal to that of an individual who has a baccalaureate or higher degree in the specialty and shall be determined by one or more of the following:

(1) An evaluation from an official who has authority to grant college-level credit for training and/or experience in the specialty at an accredited college or university which has a program for granting such credit based on an individual's training and/or work experience;

(2) The results of recognized college-level equivalency examinations or special credit programs, such as the College Level Examination Program (CLEP), or Program on Noncollegiate Sponsored Instruction (PONSI);

(3) An evaluation of education by a reliable credentials evaluation service which specializes in evaluating foreign educational credentials;

(4) Evidence of certification or registration from a nationally-recognized professional association or society for the specialty that is known to grant certification or registration to persons in the occupational specialty who have achieved a certain level of competence in the specialty;

(5) A determination by the Service that the equivalent or the degree required by the specialty occupation has been acquired through a combination of education, specialized training, and/or work experience in areas related to the specialty and that the alien has achieved recognition of expertise in the specialty occupation as a result of such training and experience.

In response to the director's request for evidence, the petitioner submitted an experiential evaluation pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(D)(1) from Dr. Norman Garrick, a professor in the School of Engineering at the University of Connecticut. Dr. Garrick opined that the beneficiary possessed the equivalent of a Bachelor of Science Degree in Civil Engineering from an accredited institution of higher education in the United States, based upon the beneficiary's prior work experience and training. Dr. Garrick states that he has authority to grant college-level credit for training and/or experience in the specialty at an accredited college or university which has a program for granting such credit based on an individual's training and/or work experience. His unsupported statement is, however, insufficient to establish that he has the necessary authority to grant college-level credit for training and/or experience in the specialty. That authority may only be established by independent evidence provided by an individual from the University of Connecticut who has administrative authority to make that assertion. His evaluation is, therefore, of little evidentiary value and the beneficiary may not be deemed qualified to perform the duties of the offered position based upon C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(D)(1).

Absent other evidence establishing the beneficiary's qualifications to perform the duties of the proffered position, the AAO shall make that determination pursuant to C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(D)(5), which provides:

> For purposes of determining equivalency to a baccalaureate degree in the specialty, three years of specialized training and/or work experience must be demonstrated for each year of college-level training the alien lacks. . . . It must be clearly demonstrated that the alien's training and/or work experience included the theoretical and practical application of specialized knowledge required by the specialty occupation; that the alien's experience was gained while working with peers, supervisors, or subordinates who have a degree or its equivalent in the specialty occupation; and that the alien has recognition of expertise in the specialty evidenced by at least one type of documentation such as:
>
> 1. Recognition of expertise in the specialty occupation by at least two recognized authorities in the same specialty occupation;
>
> 2. Membership in a recognized foreign or United States association or society in the specialty occupation;
>
> 3. Published material by or about the alien in professional publications, trade journals, books or major newspapers;
>
> 4. Licensure or registration to practice the specialty occupation in a foreign country; or
>
> 5. Achievements which a recognized authority has determined to be significant contributions to the field of the specialty occupation.

The documentation recounting the beneficiary's work experience is insufficient in detail to determine that: the work experience included the theoretical and practical application of specialized knowledge required by the proffered position; the beneficiary's experience was gained while working with peers, supervisors, or subordinates who have a degree or its equivalent in the specialty occupation; and that the beneficiary has recognition of expertise in the specialty. CIS cannot, therefore, determine that the beneficiary is qualified to perform the duties of the specialty occupation.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has failed to sustain that burden and the appeal shall accordingly be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. The petition is denied.