U.S. Department of Homeland Security

Citizenship and Immigration Services

D7

PUBLIC COPY

identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS OFFICE
CIS, AAO 20 Mass, 3/F
425 I Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20536

[redacted]

FILE: SRC 01 208 53432 Office: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER Date: FEB 03 2004

IN RE: Petitioner: [redacted]
Beneficiary:

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(L) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(L)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

[redacted]

INSTRUCTIONS:
This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. *Id.*

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of $110 as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.7.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Texas Service Center. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner claims to be a subsidiary of Karimi Foods located in Pakistan. The petitioner allegedly owns a gas station. The petitioner seeks to extend the beneficiary's stay in the United States in a managerial or executive capacity, namely as its president and CEO. The director determined that the petitioner had not established that the beneficiary had been or will be employed in a primarily managerial or executive capacity nor had the evidence established a qualifying relationship between the parent company and the U.S. entity. Consequently, the director denied the petition.

On appeal, counsel indicated that he would submit a brief or evidence to the AAO within 30 days. The notice of appeal is dated March 11, 2002. To date, the AAO has received no additional evidence. The evidence is, therefore, considered complete.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. 103.3(a)(1)(v) states in part:

> *Summary dismissal.* An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

As the petitioner has failed to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal, the appeal will be summarily dismissed.

In visa petition proceedings, the burden of proving eligibility for the benefit sought rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.