U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass, Rm. A3042, 425 I Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20529

D-7

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

[redacted]

FILE: WAC 03 168 50118 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: JUN 22 2004

IN RE: Petitioner: [redacted]
Beneficiary:

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(L) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(L)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

[redacted]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

DISCUSSION: The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, California Service Center. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner claims to be in the import and export business. The petitioner claims to be a subsidiary of Shanghai Yonta Garment Co., Ltd., located in Shanghai, China. The petitioner seeks to extend its authorization to employ the beneficiary temporarily in the United States in a managerial or executive capacity, namely as its president. The director determined that the evidence of record was insufficient to establish that a qualifying relationship exists between the U.S. and foreign entities, and that the beneficiary would continue to be employed primarily in a managerial or executive capacity,

On appeal, counsel indicated that he/she would submit a brief and/or evidence to the AAO within 30 days. The notice of appeal is dated July 31, 2003. To date, the AAO has not received any additional evidence. Therefore, the record is considered complete.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. 103.3(a)(1)(v) states in part:

> *Summary dismissal.* An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

As counsel has failed to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal, the appeal will be summarily dismissed.

In visa petition proceedings, the burden of proving eligibility for the benefit sought rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.