PUBLIC COPY

identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

E4

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass, Rm. A3042, 425 I Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20536

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

[redacted]

FILE: [redacted] Office: ST. PAUL, MN Date: MAR 29 2004

IN RE: Applicant: [redacted]

APPLICATION: Application for Replacement Naturalization/Citizenship Document under Section 338 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1149.

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Application was denied by the District Director, St. Paul, Minnesota. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native of China and a naturalized citizen of the United States. She seeks to have her Certificate of Naturalization corrected under section 338 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1449, to reflect a change in her date of birth from January 31, 1956 to December 31, 1960.

The district director reviewed the applicant's record and determined that the applicant's request was not justifiable. The application was denied accordingly.

The applicant asserts on appeal that approximately 20 years ago, she changed the year of her birth to protect herself from persecution by the Chinese government. The applicant submits an August 12, 2003, Court Order from the Tenth Judicial District, District Court of Minnesota, correcting the date of birth contained on her birth certificate. In addition, the applicant submits a new birth certificate issued by the Chinese government in February 2003, and affidavits from family members, as evidence of her true birth date.

Section 338 of the Act provides the statutory authority relating to the contents of a Certificate of Naturalization.

The specific regulations regarding the execution and issuance of Certificates of Naturalization are contained in 8 C.F.R. § 338.5, and provide, in part, that:

> (a) Whenever a Certificate of Naturalization has been delivered which does not conform to the facts shown on the application for naturalization, or a clerical error was made in preparing the certificate, an application for issuance of a corrected certificate, Form N-565, without fee, may be filed by the naturalized person.
>
> . . . .
>
> (e) The correction will not be deemed to be justified where the naturalized person later alleges that the name or date of birth which the applicant stated to be his or her correct name or date of birth at the time of naturalization was not in fact his or her own name or date of birth at the time of naturalization.

The record reflects that prior to applying for naturalization as a U.S. citizen, the applicant indicated that her birth date was January 31, 1956, on all of her immigration documents. The AAO notes that although the applicant's Application for Naturalization (N-400) contains the December 31, 1960 date of birth, the record reflects that no proof was provided at that time to establish the accuracy of the December 31, 1960, birth date. The applicant's date of birth was thus not changed or corrected. Based on the above facts, the AAO finds that the applicant's Certificate of Naturalization does not contain clerical errors and that the information on the applicant's Certificate of Naturalization reasonably conforms to the facts as set forth in her application for that document. Accordingly, there are no provisions under 8 C.F.R. § 338.5 to justify or allow for a Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) correction to the applicant's Certificate of Naturalization.

Because there is no clerical error in this case, only a federal court with jurisdiction over the applicant's naturalization proceedings has the authority to order that an amendment be made to the applicant's Certificate of Naturalization, after a hearing in which the Government is provided an opportunity to present its position on the matter. The AAO notes that such a hearing ensues pursuant to a motion to the court for an Order Amending a Certificate of Naturalization. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 334.16(b). *See also, Chan v. Immigration and Naturalization Service,* 426 F. Supp. 680 (1976) and *Varghai v. Immigration and Naturalization Service,* 932 F. Supp. 1245 (1996).

Section 334.16 states in pertinent part that:

> 334.16 Amendment of petition for naturalization.
>
> . . . .
>
> (b) After Final Action on Petition. - Whenever an application is made to the court to amend a petition for naturalization after final action thereon has been taken by the court, a copy of the application shall be served upon the district director having administrative jurisdiction over the territory in which the court is located, in the manner and within the time provided by the rules of court in which the application is made. No objection shall be made to the amendment of a petition for naturalization after the petitioner for naturalization has been admitted to citizenship if the motion or application is to correct a clerical error arising from oversight or omission. A representative of the Service [CIS] may appear at the hearing upon such application and be heard in favor of or in opposition thereto. When the court orders the petition amended, the clerk of court shall transmit a copy of the order to the district director for inclusion in the Service file.

Based on the reasoning set forth above, the appeal will be dismissed without prejudice to the applicant's submitting a request to a U.S. Federal Court in accordance with the regulations set forth in 8 C.F.R. § 334.16.[1]

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.

[1] The AAO notes that the court case referred to by the applicant on appeal involved a federal court action to amend or correct a certificate of naturalization.