U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. A3042
Washington, DC 20529

PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

identifying data deleted to prevent [illegible] warrante [redacted] sion of personal privacy

I2

APR 25 2005

FILE: [redacted] Office: NEBRASKA SERVICE CENTER Date:
(LIN-03-133-51189 relates)

IN RE: Applicant: [redacted]

APPLICATION: Application for Refugee Travel Document Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 223.1(b).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Acting Director, Nebraska Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Vietnam, who seeks to obtain a refugee travel document pursuant to 8 C.F.R. 223.1(b). The Acting Director concluded that the applicant did not hold a valid refugee status under section 207 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act) or valid asylum status under section 208 of the Act at the time the application was filed and denied the application accordingly. *See Acting Director's Decision* dated August 4, 2004.

On appeal the applicant requests a travel document in order travel outside the United Stated to visit her terminally ill grandfather.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1) states in pertinent part:

> (v) Summary dismissal. An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

In the present case the applicant has failed to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. Accordingly, the appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The AAO notes that the applicant was paroled into the United States on November 8, 1994. The applicant may be eligible for advance parole. Therefore the decision is without prejudice to the filing of a new Form I-131 for advance parole if the applicant completes the appropriate box on the application.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.