U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. A3042
Washington, DC 20529

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

[redacted]

FEB 10 2005

FILE: [redacted] Office: NEBRASKA SERVICE CENTER Date:
(LIN-03-218 53323 relates)

IN RE: Applicant: [redacted]

APPLICATION: Application for Travel Document Pursuant to Section 223 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1203.

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Acting Director, Nebraska Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Germany who seeks to obtain a travel document (reentry permit) under section 223 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1203. The Acting Director denied the application after determining that the application was filed after the applicant had departed the United States. *See Acting Director's Decision* dated August 23, 2004.

On appeal, the applicant states that he submitted an Application for Travel Document (Form I-131) on July 21, 2002, prior to his departure from the United States. Records of Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) reveal that on July 24, 2002, the Acting Director rejected the application because the check submitted was not signed. The rejection notice was forwarded to the Hilton Hotels Corporation's address in Beverly Hills, a point of contact provided by the applicant. The applicant states that the Hilton Hotels Corporation has no record of receiving this notice. The applicant further states that after finding out that his July 21, 2002, application was rejected he submitted a new From I-131 with the appropriate fee.

The proceeding in the present case is for the Form I-131 filed on July 1, 2003, and therefore the AAO will not discuss the previously submitted application.

In pertinent part, section 223 of the Act provides that an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence who intends to visit abroad and return to the United States to resume that status may make an application for a permit to reenter the United States.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 223.2 states in pertinent part:

> (b) Eligibility.
>
> > (1) Reentry permit. Except as otherwise provided in this section, an application may be approved if filed by a person who is in the United States at the time of application and is a lawful permanent resident or conditional permanent resident.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.2 states in pertinent part:

> Applications, petitions, and other documents.
>
> > *(a) Filing-(1) General.* Every application, petition, appeal, motion, request, or other document submitted on the form prescribed by this chapter shall be executed and filed in accordance with the instructions on the form, such instructions (including where an application or petition should be filed) being hereby incorporated into the particular section of the regulations in this chapter requiring its submission. The form must be filed with the appropriate filing fee required by § 103.7. . .
>
> > . . .
>
> > *(7) Receipt date-(i) General.* An application or petition received in a Service office shall be stamped to show the time and date of actual receipt and, unless otherwise specified in part 204 or part 245 or part 245a of this chapter, shall be regarded as properly filed when so stamped, if it is signed and executed and the required filing

> fee is attached or a waiver of the filing fee is granted. An application or petition which is not properly signed or is submitted with the wrong filing fee shall be rejected as improperly filed. Rejected applications and petitions, and ones in which the check or other financial instrument used to pay the filing fee is subsequently returned as non-payable will not retain a filing date . . .

The record of proceeding reveals that the Form I-131 was properly filed on July 1, 2003. The applicant submitted the Form I-131 while he was residing abroad as indicated by the address on the envelope and the postmark from Singapore.

The record reflects that the applicant was not physically present in the United States when he filed his application for a reentry permit. Since the application was filed while the applicant was residing in Singapore the application may not be approved.

It is noted that a lawful permanent resident of the United States who is in possession of evidence of lawful admission (Form I-551) and intends to reenter the United States within one year of his/her last departure may not require a reentry permit to reenter. However, if a lawful permanent resident seeks to reenter after an absence of one year or more, and does not possess a reentry permit, he/she should contact a United States consulate abroad for further information regarding his/her possible options for return to the United States.

Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361, provides that the burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that the applicant is eligible for the benefit sought. Here, the applicant has not met that burden. Accordingly, the appeal will be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.