identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass, Rm. A3042, 425 I Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20536

U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

APR 20 2004

FILE: Office: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER Date:

IN RE: Applicant:

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

for Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, Texas Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Honduras who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The director determined that the applicant had stated on the application for TPS that he entered the United States on January 2, 1999, and he had failed to submit evidence that he had entered the United States prior to that date. On October 10, 2002, the director denied the application because the applicant had not established that he had met the continuous residence and physical presence criteria for temporary protected status.

On appeal, the applicant fails to address the grounds of denial or submit any evidence to support his claim. The applicant indicates that a brief or additional evidence will be submitted within 30 days of the filing of his appeal on November 4, 2002. However, as of this date, no further evidence has been provided.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) states, in pertinent part:

> Summary dismissal. An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The applicant has failed to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. Accordingly, the appeal will be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.