PUBLIC COPY

identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass, Rm. A3042, 425 I Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20536

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

[redacted]

FILE: [redacted] Office: Vermont Service Center Date: MAR 22 2004

IN RE: Applicant: [redacted]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Cindy M. Gomez for
Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of El Salvador who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254. The director denied the application because the applicant failed to establish she had: 1) continuously resided in the United States since February 13, 2001; and 2) been continuously physically present in the United States since March 9, 2001.

On appeal, the applicant asserted her claim of eligibility for TPS and submitted evidence in support of her claim.

Section 244(c) of the Act, and the related regulations in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2, provide that an applicant who is a national of a foreign state is eligible for temporary protected status only if such alien establishes that he or she:

(a) is a national of a state designated under section 244(b) of the Act;

(b) has been continuously physically present in the United States since the effective date of the most recent designation of that foreign state;

(c) has continuously resided in the United States since such date as the Attorney General may designate;

(d) is admissible as an immigrant under section 244.3;

(e) is not ineligible under 8 C.F.R. § 244.4; and

(f) (1) registers for TPS during the initial registration period, or

(2) registers for TPS during any subsequent extension of such designation, if the applicant meets the above listed requirements and:

(i) the applicant is a nonimmigrant or has been granted voluntary departure status or any relief from removal;

(ii) the applicant has an application for change of status, adjustment of status, asylum, voluntary departure, or any relief from removal which is pending or subject to further review or appeal;

(iii) the applicant is a parolee or has a pending request for reparole; or

(iv) the applicant is a spouse or child of an alien currently eligible to be a TPS registrant.

The phrase continuously physically present, as defined in 8 C.F.R. § 244.1, means actual physical presence in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous physical presence in the United States by virtue of brief, casual, and innocent absences as defined within this section.

The phrase continuously resided, as defined in 8 C.F.R. § 244.1, means residing in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous residence in the United States by reason of a brief, casual and innocent absence as defined within this section or due merely to a brief temporary trip abroad required by emergency or extenuating circumstances outside the control of the alien.

The phrase brief, casual, and innocent absence, as defined in 8 C.F.R. § 244.1, means a departure from the United States that satisfies the following criteria:

(1) Each such absence was of short duration and reasonably calculated to accomplish the purpose(s) for the absence;

(2) The absence was not the result of an order of deportation, an order of voluntary departure, or an administrative grant of voluntary departure without the institution of deportation proceedings; and

(3) The purposes for the absence from the United States or actions while outside of the United States were not contrary to law.

Persons applying for TPS offered to El Salvadorans must demonstrate entry on or prior to February 13, 2001, continuous residence in the United States since February 13, 2001, and continuous physical presence in the United States since March 9, 2001. On July 9, 2002, the Attorney General announced an extension of the TPS designation until September 9, 2003. A subsequent extension of the TPS designation has been granted by the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security, with validity until March 9, 2005, upon the applicant's re-registration during the requisite time period.

The burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that he or she meets the above requirements. Applicants shall submit all documentation as required in the instructions or requested by CIS. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a). The sufficiency of all evidence will be judged according to its relevancy, consistency, credibility, and probative value. To meet his or her burden of proof the applicant must provide supporting documentary evidence of eligibility apart from his or her own statements. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(b).

On December 17, 2002, the applicant was requested to submit evidence establishing her residence since February 13, 2001, and physical presence since March 9, 2001, in the United States. The applicant, in response, provided the following documentation:

1. Copies of Western Union Money Transfer receipts dated March 8, 2002 to August 31, 2002.
2. Copies of money transfers from the Bancomercio dated March 17, 2003 to May 11, 2003.

The director determined that the applicant had failed to submit sufficient evidence to establish her eligibility for TPS and denied the application on April 24, 2003. On appeal, the applicant reasserted her claim and submitted the following documentation:

3. An affidavit dated May 23, 2003, from Mr. who testified that the applicant had lived with him in Brentwood, Maryland, since October 2000.
4. Copies of a residential lease agreement between Mr. and the Ashley Realty Inc. entered into on May 31, 2001.
5. Original copies of lease agreements between the applicant and Mr. entered into on October 1, 2001 and October 1, 2002.
6. The applicant's receipt for application for a social security card dated July 8, 2002.
7. Copies of Western Union Money Transfer receipts from April 5, 2002 to November 16, 2002.

The affiant to the document in No. 3 above testified that the applicant had lived with him since October 2000. However, the statements from Mr. regarding the applicant's claimed presence in the United States before February 13, 2001, are not supported by any corroborative evidence. It is reasonable to expect that the applicant would have some type of contemporaneous evidence to support these assertions; however, no corroborative evidence has been provided in support of the assertions.

Furthermore, the lease detailed in No. 4 above specifically names the three occupants of the apartment in question. The applicant is not named on this lease agreement. Mr. did not explain why the applicant was not named on the lease entered into on May 31, 2001 if she had been living there since October 2000 as he attested to in No. 3.

Doubt cast on any aspect of the applicant's proof may lead to a reevaluation of the reliability and sufficiency of the remaining evidence offered in support of the application. It is incumbent upon the applicant to resolve any inconsistencies in the record by independent objective evidence, and attempts to explain or reconcile such inconsistencies, absent competent objective evidence pointing to where the truth, in fact, lies, will not suffice. *Matter of Ho*, 19 I&N Dec. 582 (BIA 1988).

The applicant has not submitted sufficient credible evidence to establish her qualifying residence in the United States since February 13, 2001, or her physical presence in the United States since March 9, 2001. She has, therefore, failed to establish that she has met the criteria described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(b) and (c). Consequently, the director's decision to deny the application for temporary protected status will be affirmed.

An alien applying for temporary protected status has the burden of proving that he or she meets the requirements enumerated above and is otherwise eligible under the provisions of section 244 of the Act. The applicant has failed to meet this burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.