**U.S. Department of Homeland Security**
20 Mass, Rm. A3042, 425 I Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20536

Identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

**PUBLIC COPY**

[redacted]

MAR 29 2004

FILE: [redacted] Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER Date:

IN RE: Applicant: [redacted]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. §1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

[redacted]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION**: The application was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The case will be remanded to the director for further action.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Honduras who indicated on his application that he entered the United States on August 25, 1992. The director denied the application for Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254, after determining that the applicant had abandoned his application by failing to respond to a request for evidence.

If all requested initial evidence and requested additional evidence is not submitted by the required date, the application or petition shall be considered abandoned and, accordingly, shall be denied. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(13). A denial due to abandonment may not be appealed, but an applicant or petitioner may file a motion to reopen. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(15).

The record reveals that the applicant filed his application on July 2, 2002. On October 8, 2002, the applicant was requested to submit evidence to show that he was eligible for filing after the initial registration period from January 5, 1999 to August 20, 1999. The record does not reflect that the applicant responded to the notice. The director concluded that the applicant had abandoned his application and issued a Notice of Denial on May 19, 2003. The director advised the applicant that, while the decision could not be appealed, the applicant could file a motion to reopen within 30 days. The applicant submitted a motion to reopen his case on June 2, 2003. The applicant requested that his TPS application be reopened and stated that he had filed an application for TPS in 1999 with an office in Mineola, New York, but the office did not submit his papers. He submits evidence of his residence in the United States.

The director accepted the motion as an appeal and forwarded the file to the AAO in error. However, the applicant has, in fact, submitted a motion to reopen that must be addressed by the director.

As the director's decision was based on lack of prosecution, the AAO has no jurisdiction in this case, and it may not be appealed to the AAO. Therefore, the case will be remanded and the director shall consider the motion.

As always in these proceedings, the burden of proof rests solely with the applicant. Section 291 of the Act. 8 U.S.C. § 1361.

**ORDER**: The case is remanded to the director for further action consistent with the above and entry of a new decision.