



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services



By

DATE: **DEC 08 2012**

OFFICE: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER



IN RE:



PETITION: Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as a Multinational Executive or Manager Pursuant to Section 203(b)(1)(C) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(1)(C)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the AAO inappropriately applied the law in reaching its decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen with the field office or service center that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$630. The specific requirements for filing such a motion can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. **Do not file any motion directly with the AAO.** Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires any motion to be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

Ron Rosenberg
Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The preference visa petition was denied by the Director, Texas Service Center. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner is a travel agency that seeks to employ the beneficiary as its General Manager. Accordingly, the petitioner endeavors to classify the beneficiary as an employment-based immigrant pursuant to section 203(b)(1)(C) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(1)(C), as a multinational executive or manager.

On October 5, 2011, the director denied the immigrant petition concluding the following: (1) the petitioner failed to establish that the beneficiary's employment abroad was within a qualifying managerial or executive capacity; (2) the petitioner failed to establish that it would employ the beneficiary in a managerial or executive capacity; and, (3) the petitioner failed to establish that it had the ability to pay the proffered wage at the time the priority date was established.

On November 7, 2011, counsel for the petitioner submitted the Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, to appeal the director's denial. Counsel marked the box at part two of the Form I-290B to indicate that a brief and/or additional evidence will be submitted to the AAO within 30 days. More than 30 days have passed and the record indicates that the petitioner did not file a brief or supplemental evidence as of this date. Thus, the AAO deems the record complete as currently constituted and ready for adjudication.

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

In regards to the director's conclusion that the petitioner failed to submit sufficient evidence to show the beneficiary's eligibility for the immigrant petition, counsel for the petitioner fails to identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact as a basis for the appeal. Further, the petitioner failed to provide any additional to overcome the director's concerns. Going on record without supporting documentary evidence is not sufficient for purposes of meeting the burden of proof in these proceedings. *Matter of Soffici*, 22 I&N Dec. 158, 165 (Comm'r 1998) (citing *Matter of Treasure Craft of California*, 14 I&N Dec. 190 (Reg. Comm'r 1972)).

As the petitioner has failed to identify an erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact as a basis for the appeal, the appeal will be summarily dismissed in accordance with 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.