


identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. 3000
Washington, DC 20529-2090
MAILSTOP 2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY



Bc


FILE: LIN 06 135 51388 Office: NEBRASKA SERVICE CENTER Date: NOV 10 2008

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:



PETITION: Immigrant petition for Alien Worker as a Skilled Worker or Professional pursuant to section 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, Nebraska Service Center, denied the employment-based immigrant visa petition, and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is software products and services company. It seeks to employ the beneficiary permanently in the United States as a computer services analyst. As required by statute, a Form ETA 750, Application for Alien Employment Certification approved by the Department of Labor, accompanied the petition. The director determined that the petitioner had not established that the beneficiary was qualified to perform the duties of the proffered position. The director determined that the beneficiary did not possess the bachelor's degree, or a combination of education equivalent to a bachelor's degree in one of the fields stipulated on the ETA Form 9089. The director accordingly denied the petition.

On the Form I-290B submitted to the record, counsel states that a separate brief or evidence is not being submitted. Counsel submits no further evidence with the I-290B form and states no reason for the appeal. As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v), an appeal shall be summarily dismissed if the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

Counsel here has not specifically addressed the reasons stated for denial and has not provided any additional evidence. He or she has not even expressed disagreement with the director's decision. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.