

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U. S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Office of Administrative Appeals MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



**U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services**

PUBLIC COPY

B6

FILE:

LIN 07 031 52628

Office: NEBRASKA SERVICE CENTER

Date: **MAY 10 2010**

IN RE:

Petitioner:

Beneficiary:

PETITION: Immigrant petition for Alien Worker as a Skilled Worker or Professional pursuant to section 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. Please refer to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5 for the specific requirements. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$585. Any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen, as required by 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Perry Khew".
Perry Khew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, Nebraska Service Center, denied the third preference visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(A).

The petitioner is a luxury hotel and operations business. It seeks to employ the beneficiary permanently in the United States as a human resource employment specialist. As required by statute, a labor certification approved by the Department of Labor accompanied the petition. The director determined that the petitioner had not demonstrated that the beneficiary possessed the requisite education for the position beginning on the priority date of the visa petition and denied the petition accordingly.

The record of proceeding contains a properly executed Form G-28 (Form G-28), Notice of Entry of Appearance as Attorney or Representative for the petitioner, but not one for the beneficiary. However, the Form I-290B appellate form was filed and signed by counsel on behalf of the beneficiary. U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services' (USCIS) regulations specifically prohibit a beneficiary of a visa petition, or a representative acting on a beneficiary's behalf, from filing an appeal. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(iii)(B). No evidence suggests that the petitioner consented to the filing of the appeal. The record merely contains the Form G-28 signed by the petitioner back on November 1, 2006

As the appeal was not properly filed, and it is unclear whether or not the petitioner consented to having an appeal filed on its behalf, it will be rejected. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(A)(1).

ORDER: The appeal is rejected as improperly filed.