

**U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090**

(b)(6)



**U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services**

DATE

FEB 29 2012

Office: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER

FILE: [REDACTED]

IN RE:

Petitioner:

Beneficiary: [REDACTED]

PETITION: Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as a Skilled Worker or Professional pursuant to section 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

[REDACTED]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. Please note that all documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please also note that any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Thank you,

DRL for

**Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office**

(b)(6)

Page 2

DISCUSSION: The Director, Texas Service Center, denied the immigrant visa petition. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected as untimely filed. The AAO will return the matter to the director for consideration as a motion to reopen and reconsider.

In order to properly file an appeal, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(i) provides that the affected party or the attorney or representative of record must file the complete appeal within 30 days of service of the unfavorable decision. If the decision was mailed, the appeal must be filed within 33 days. *See 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b).* The date of filing is not the date of mailing, but the date of actual receipt. *See 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(7)(i).*

The record indicates that the director issued the decision on February 20, 2009. It is noted that the director properly gave notice to the petitioner that it had 33 days to file the appeal. Neither the Immigration and Nationality Act nor the pertinent regulations grant the AAO authority to extend this time limit.

Although counsel dated the Form I-290B March 23, 2009, it was not received by the director until March 26, 2009, or 34 days after the decision was issued. Accordingly, the appeal was untimely filed.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(2) states that, if an untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen or a motion to reconsider, the appeal must be treated as a motion, and a decision must be made on the merits of the case. The official having jurisdiction over a motion is the official who made the last decision in the proceeding, which, in this case, is the Director, Texas Service Center. *See 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(ii).*

The matter will therefore be returned to the director. If the director determines that the late appeal meets the requirements of a motion, the motion shall be granted and a new decision will be issued. As the appeal was untimely filed, the appeal must be rejected.

It is further noted that the petitioner's corporation appears to be dissolved.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected.