




U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services



B9

Date: **DEC 19 2012** Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER File:

IN RE: Petitioner:

PETITION: Petition for Immigrant Abused Spouse Pursuant to Section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(iii)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the AAO inappropriately applied the law in reaching its decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen in accordance with the instructions on Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$630 or a request for a fee waiver. The specific requirements for filing such a motion can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. **Do not file any motion directly with the AAO.** Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires any motion to be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

Ron Rosenberg  
Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The Director, Vermont Service Center (“the director”), denied the immigrant visa petition. The Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) summarily dismissed a subsequent appeal. The matter is now before the AAO on a motion to reconsider. The motion will be dismissed. The appeal will remain dismissed and the petition will remain denied.

The petitioner seeks immigrant classification pursuant to section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (“the Act”), 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(iii), as an alien battered or subjected to extreme cruelty by a United States citizen.

The director denied the petition for failure to establish that the petitioner had a qualifying relationship with a U.S. citizen spouse and was eligible for immigrant classification based upon that relationship, as required by subsections 204(a)(1)(A)(iii)(II)(aa)(AA) and (cc) of the Act. In its February 28, 2012 decision summarily dismissing the appeal, the AAO found that the petitioner did not overcome the director’s determination that the petitioner failed to file her self-petition within two years of the legal termination of her marriage.

A motion to reconsider must: (1) state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions to establish that the decision was based on an incorrect application of law or U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) policy; and (2) establish that the decision was incorrect based on the evidence of record at the time of the initial decision. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(3).

The petitioner’s submission fails to meet the requirements for a motion to reconsider. On motion, the petitioner submits a statement that is nearly identical to the statement she previously filed on appeal. The petitioner does not cite any binding precedent decisions or other legal authority establishing that the AAO’s prior decision incorrectly applied the pertinent law or agency policy. Nor does she show that the AAO’s prior decision was erroneous based on the evidence of record at the time. Consequently, the motion to reconsider must be dismissed. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(4) (a motion that does not meet the applicable requirements shall be dismissed).

**ORDER:** The motion is dismissed. The February 28, 2012 decision of the Administrative Appeals Office is affirmed. The appeal remains dismissed and the petition remains denied.