

(b)(6)

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Service
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

DATE:

NOV 27 2013

Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

File:

IN RE:

Petitioner:

PETITION: Petition for Immigrant Abused Spouse Pursuant to Section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(iii)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) in your case.

This is a non-precedent decision. The AAO does not announce new constructions of law nor establish agency policy through non-precedent decisions. If you believe the AAO incorrectly applied current law or policy to your case or if you seek to present new facts for consideration, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen, respectively. Any motion must be filed on a Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B) within 33 days of the date of this decision. **Please review the Form I-290B instructions at <http://www.uscis.gov/forms> for the latest information on fee, filing location, and other requirements. See also 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. Do not file a motion directly with the AAO.**

Thank you,


Ron Rosenberg
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, Vermont Service Center (“the director”), denied the immigrant visa petition. The Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) summarily dismissed a subsequent appeal. The matter is now before the AAO on a motion to reopen and reconsider. The motion will be dismissed. The appeal will remain dismissed and the petition will remain denied.

The petitioner seeks immigrant classification pursuant to section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (“the Act”), 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(iii), as an alien battered or subjected to extreme cruelty by a United States citizen.

The director denied the petition for failure to establish the requisite battery or extreme cruelty. The petitioner timely filed an appeal and the AAO summarily dismissed the petitioner’s subsequent appeal on June 29, 2013.

A motion to reopen must state the new facts to be proved in the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(2). A motion to reconsider must: (1) state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions to establish that the decision was based on an incorrect application of law or U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) policy; and (2) establish that the decision was incorrect based on the evidence of record at the time of the initial decision. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(3).

The petitioner’s submission does not meet the requirements for a motion to reopen or a motion to reconsider. The petitioner submits a brief statement on the Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion that repeats his earlier assertions. He adds that he strongly believes that his previous attorney did not handle his case properly but does not state how nor does he state new facts or provide additional evidence to establish that the AAO did not consider any credible evidence relevant to the petition in violation of the statute or regulations. The petitioner does not establish that the AAO’s prior decision was based on an incorrect application of the relevant law or agency policy. The petitioner also fails to demonstrate that the AAO’s prior decision was incorrect based on the evidence of the record at the time of the initial decision. Consequently, the motion to reopen and the motion to reconsider must be dismissed. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(4) (a motion that does not meet the applicable requirements shall be dismissed).

ORDER: The motion is dismissed. The June 29, 2013 decision of the Administrative Appeals Office is affirmed. The appeal remains dismissed and the petition remains denied.