



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

Identifying information deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY



C1

FILE: [REDACTED] Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER Date: AUG 12 2005  
EAC 03 266 50259

IN RE: Petitioner: [REDACTED]  
Beneficiary: [REDACTED]

PETITION: Petition for Special Immigrant Religious Worker Pursuant to Section 203(b)(4) of the  
Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), as described at Section  
101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(27)(C)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to  
the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The employment-based immigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner is a church.<sup>1</sup> It seeks to classify the beneficiary as a special immigrant religious worker pursuant to section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), to perform services as a Bible teacher, Christian educator, and missionary. The director determined that the petitioner had not established that the beneficiary had been engaged continuously in a qualifying religious vocation or occupation for two full years immediately preceding the filing of the petition or that the position qualified as that of a religious worker.

On appeal, the petitioner submits a letter. The petitioner indicated that it would be submitting additional evidence and supporting documentation within 30 days. As of the date of this decision, however, more than eight months after the appeal was filed, no further documentation has been received by the AAO. Therefore, the record will be considered complete as presently constituted.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) states, in pertinent part:

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The petitioner has failed to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or a statement of fact in this proceeding; therefore, the appeal must be summarily dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is summarily dismissed.

---

<sup>1</sup> Although the petitioner was previously represented by counsel, on appeal the petitioner advises that counsel will no longer assist it. According, the AAO will consider the petitioner as self-represented.