

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy


U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

C1



Date: Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER FILE: 

MAR 12 2012

IN RE: Petitioner: 
Beneficiary: 

PETITION: Immigrant Petition for Special Immigrant Religious Worker Pursuant to Section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), as described at Section 101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(27)(C)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

Thank you,

Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, California Service Center, revoked approval of the employment-based preference visa petition, and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(A)(1).

The petitioner is a Hindu organization. It seeks to employ the beneficiary permanently in the United States as a priest. The director determined that the petitioner's organization did not exist as indicated on the petition and that no valid job offer for the beneficiary therefore existed.

The record of proceeding contains an executed Form G-28, Notice of Entry of Appearance as Attorney or Accredited Representative, for [REDACTED] representative, [REDACTED]. Additionally, [REDACTED] signed the Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion. United States Citizenship and Immigration Services' (USCIS) regulations specifically prohibit any entity other than the affected party or its representative from filing an appeal. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(iii)(B). No evidence suggests that the affected party, the petitioner in this instance, consented to the filing of the appeal.

As the appeal was not properly filed, and it is unclear whether or not the petitioner consented to having an appeal filed on its behalf, it will be rejected. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(A)(1).

ORDER: The appeal is rejected.