

**identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**

PUBLIC COPY

**U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Office of Administrative Appeals MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090**



**U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services**

D12



FILE:

Office: LOS ANGELES, CA

Date: **MAY 03 2010**

IN RE:

Petitioner:

Beneficiary:

PETITION: Petition to Classify Orphan as an Immediate Relative Pursuant to section 101(b)(1)(F)(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(b)(1)(F)(i)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Perry Rhew".

Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The field office director initially approved the Form I-600, Petition to Classify Orphan as an Immediate Relative. However, upon receipt of correspondence from the United States Consulate in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, the field office director issued a notice of intent to revoke, and ultimately revoked, approval of the petition. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected as untimely filed. The AAO will return the matter to the field office director for consideration as a motion to reopen or reconsider.

The regulation requires that an appeal from the revocation of the approval of a petition must be filed within 15 days after service of the notice of revocation. 8 C.F.R. § 205.2(d). If the notice was mailed, the appeal must be filed within 18 days. See 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b).

The field office director revoked approval of the petition on November 20, 2009. It is noted that the field office director improperly gave notice to the petitioner that an appeal could be filed within 30 days of the revocation decision. However, the field office director's improper notice to the petitioner regarding the time period during which an appeal may be filed does not extend the regulatory requirement that the appeal of a revocation decision issued by mail must be filed within 18 days. U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) received the petitioner's Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal, on December 23, 2009, 33 days after the field office director's decision was issued. Accordingly, the appeal was untimely filed.

Neither the Act nor the pertinent regulations grant the AAO authority to extend the time limit for filing an appeal. As the appeal was untimely filed, the appeal must be rejected. Nevertheless, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(2) states that, if an untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen or a motion to reconsider, the appeal must be treated as a motion, and a decision must be made on the merits of the case.

A motion to reopen must state the new facts to be proved in the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(2). A motion to reconsider must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions to establish that the decision was based on an incorrect application of law or U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) policy. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(3). A motion to reconsider must also establish that the decision was incorrect based on the evidence of record at the time of the initial decision. *Id.* A motion that does not meet the applicable requirements shall be dismissed. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(4).

Here, the untimely appeal appears to meet the requirements of a motion. The official having jurisdiction over a motion is the official who made the last decision in the proceeding, in this case the field office director. See 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(ii). Therefore, the field office director must consider the untimely appeal as a motion and render a new decision accordingly.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected. The matter is returned to the field office director for consideration as a motion and the issuance of a new decision.