

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services



D13

Date: APR 23 2012 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER FILE:

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:

PETITION: Nonimmigrant Petition for Religious Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(R)(1) of the
Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(R)(1)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the
documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please
be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

Thank you,

Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, California Service Center, denied the employment-based nonimmigrant visa petition. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The AAO will withdraw the director's decision and will remand the petition for further action and consideration.

The petitioner is a Sikh religious society. It seeks to extend the beneficiary's status as a nonimmigrant religious worker pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(R)(1) of the Act to perform services as a head priest. The director determined that the petitioner had failed to provide evidence of the compensation that the beneficiary received during his previously approved R-1 status.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(r)(12) requires that any request for an extension of stay as an R-1 must include initial evidence of the previous R-1 employment (including Internal Revenue Service documentation if available). Under 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(r)(5), extension of status is available only to aliens who maintain R-1 status.

The issue of the beneficiary's maintenance of R-1 status is significant only insofar as it relates to the application to extend that status. An application for extension is concurrent with, but separate from, the nonimmigrant petition. There is no appeal from the denial of an application for extension of stay filed on Form I-129. 8 C.F.R. § 214.1(c)(5). Because the beneficiary's maintenance of status is an extension issue, rather than a petition issue, the AAO lacks authority to decide this question.

The director has issued no decision on the nonimmigrant petition to extend the beneficiary as a religious worker pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(R)(1) of the Act. Accordingly, the matter is remanded for a decision on the nonimmigrant petition.

The matter will be remanded. The director may request any additional evidence deemed warranted and should allow the petitioner to submit additional evidence in support of its position within a reasonable period of time. As always in these proceedings, the burden of proof rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361.

ORDER: The director's decision is withdrawn. The petition is remanded to the director for further action in accordance with the foregoing and entry of a new decision which, if adverse to the petitioner, is to be certified to the AAO for review.