

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

[REDACTED]

B14

DATE: **NOV 30 2011** Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER FILE: [REDACTED]

IN RE: Petitioner: [REDACTED]

PETITION: Petition for U Nonimmigrant Classification as a Victim of a Qualifying Crime Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(U) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(U)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

[REDACTED]

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen.

The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$630. Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, Vermont Service Center (the director), denied the U nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will remain denied.

The petitioner seeks nonimmigrant classification under section 101(a)(15)(U) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (“the Act”), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(U), as an alien victim of certain qualifying criminal activity.

Applicable Law

Section 101(a)(15)(U) of the Act provides for U nonimmigrant classification to alien victims of certain criminal activity who assist government officials in investigating or prosecuting such criminal activity. Section 212(d)(14) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(d)(14), requires U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) to determine whether any grounds of inadmissibility exist when adjudicating a Form I-918 U petition, and provides USCIS with the authority to waive certain grounds of inadmissibility as a matter of discretion.

Section 101(a)(15) of the Act, defines the term “immigrant” as “every alien except an alien who is within one of the following classes of nonimmigrant aliens.” Section 101(a)(15)(U) of the Act is one such nonimmigrant classification that is not included in the definition of “immigrant” at section 101(a)(15) of the Act.

Pertinent Facts and Procedural History

The petitioner is a native and citizen of Germany who entered the United States on April 8, 1980 as a lawful permanent resident (LPR). Removal proceedings were initiated against the petitioner in September 2010 due to her criminal convictions in the State of Iowa. On November 7, 2011, an immigration judge terminated removal proceedings against the petitioner.

The petitioner filed the Form I-918 U petition on April 6, 2011 as well as the Application for Advance Permission to Enter as Nonimmigrant (Form I-192 waiver). On August 19, 2011, the director denied the Form I-918 U petition on the basis of the petitioner’s status as an LPR.

On appeal, counsel states that the petitioner “has now been declared Removable as of August 24, 2011.” Counsel maintains that the petitioner has no legal immigration status in the United States and is, therefore, eligible for U nonimmigrant status as well as a waiver of her inadmissibility.

Analysis

The AAO conducts appellate review on a de novo basis. *See Soltane v. DOJ*, 381 F.3d 143, 145 (3d Cir. 2004). The burden of proof is on the petitioner to demonstrate eligibility for U nonimmigrant classification, and USCIS will determine, in its sole discretion, the evidentiary value of previously or concurrently submitted evidence. 8 C.F.R. § 214.14(c)(4). All credible evidence relevant to the petition will be considered. Section 214(p)(4) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. §

1184(p)(4). Upon review of the record, we concur with the director's decision to deny the petition.

Counsel is incorrect in his assertions that the petitioner has no legal immigration status in the United States. The record contains no evidence that the petitioner has lost her LPR status. Although she was placed in removal proceedings due to her criminal convictions, those proceedings were terminated on November 7, 2011 without an order of removal. Lawful permanent resident status terminates upon entry of a final administrative order of removal. 8 C.F.R. § 1.1(p), 1001.1(p). *See also Etuk v. Slattery*, 936 F.2d 1433, 1447 (2d Cir. 1991) (citing *Matter of Gunaydin*, 18 I&N Dec. 326 (BIA 1982)). Lawful permanent residency does not end upon commission of acts which may render the resident inadmissible or removable, but upon entry of a final administrative order of removability based on such acts. *Matter of Gunaydin*, 18 I&N Dec. at 328. Lawful permanent residency may also be lost through abandonment, rescission, or relinquishment. *See id.* at 327 n.1. None of those circumstances exist in this case. Consequently, the petitioner remains an LPR.

Conclusion

Pursuant to section 214(p)(5) of the Act, an alien seeking U nonimmigrant status may apply for any other immigration benefit or status for which he or she may be eligible. However, USCIS will only grant one immigrant or nonimmigrant status at a time. *See* 72 Fed. Reg. 179, 53014-53042, 53018 (Sept. 17, 2007). As the petitioner was already a lawful permanent resident of the United States at the time she filed her Form I-918 U petition, she was ineligible for U nonimmigrant status. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(1); *Matter of Katigbak*, 14 I&N Dec. 45, 49 (Comm. 1971) (noting that eligibility must be established at the time of filing the visa petition). In addition, even if the petitioner's LPR status was not disqualifying, she would be ineligible for an approved Form I-918 U petition because the director, in denying her Form I-192, did not waive her grounds of inadmissibility based upon her criminal convictions.

As in all visa petition proceedings, the petitioner bears the burden of proving her eligibility for U nonimmigrant status. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361; 8 C.F.R. § 214.14(c)(4). Here, that burden has not been met. Accordingly, the appeal will be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. The petition remains denied.