


(b)(6)

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)  
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services



Date: **OCT 15 2013** Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER FILE:

IN RE: PETITIONER:

PETITION: Petition for U Nonimmigrant Classification as a Victim of a Qualifying Crime Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(U) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(U)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) in your case.

This is a non-precedent decision. The AAO does not announce new constructions of law nor establish agency policy through non-precedent decisions. If you believe the AAO incorrectly applied current law or policy to your case or if you seek to present new facts for consideration, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen, respectively. Any motion must be filed on a Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B) within 33 days of the date of this decision. **Please review the Form I-290B instructions at <http://www.uscis.gov/forms> for the latest information on fee, filing location, and other requirements. See also 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. Do not file a motion directly with the AAO.**

Thank you,

*for* Ron Rosenberg  
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

(b)(6)

Page 2

**DISCUSSION:** The Director, Vermont Service Center (the director), denied the U nonimmigrant visa petition. The Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) dismissed the subsequent appeal, and the matter is again before the AAO on motion to reopen. The motion to reopen will be granted. The appeal will remain dismissed and the underlying petition will remain denied.

The petitioner seeks nonimmigrant classification under section 101(a)(15)(U) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(U), as an alien victim of certain qualifying criminal activity.

The director denied the Form I-918, Petition for U Nonimmigrant Status (Form I-918 U petition), because the petitioner did not submit a properly executed U Nonimmigrant Status Certification (Form I-918 Supplement B). The petitioner timely filed an appeal with the AAO. The AAO dismissed the appeal. With the present motion, the petitioner submits additional evidence.

#### *Applicable Law*

Section 101(a)(15)(U) of the Act provides U nonimmigrant classification to alien victims of certain qualifying criminal activity and their qualifying family members. Section 214(p)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(p)(1) states:

The petition filed by an alien under section 101(a)(15)(U)(i) shall contain a certification from a Federal, State, or local law enforcement official, prosecutor, judge, or other Federal, State, or local authority investigating criminal activity described in section 101(a)(15)(U)(iii). This certification may also be provided by an official of the Service whose ability to provide such certification is not limited to information concerning immigration violations. This certification shall state that the alien "has been helpful, is being helpful, or is likely to be helpful" in the investigation or prosecution of criminal activity described in section 101(a)(15)(U)(iii).

Regarding the application procedures for U nonimmigrant classification, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.14(c) states, in pertinent part:

(2) *Initial evidence.* Form I-918 must include the following initial evidence:

(i) Form I-918, Supplement B, "U Nonimmigrant Status Certification," signed by a certifying official within the six months immediately preceding the filing of Form I-918. The certification must state that: the person signing the certificate is the head of the certifying agency, or any person(s) in a supervisory role who has been specifically designated by the head of the certifying agency to issue U nonimmigrant status certifications on behalf of that agency, or is a Federal, State, or local judge; the agency is a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, or prosecutor, judge or other authority, that has responsibility for the detection, investigation, prosecution, conviction, or sentencing of qualifying criminal activity; the applicant has been a victim of qualifying criminal activity that the certifying official's agency is investigating or prosecuting; the petitioner possesses information

(b)(6)

Page 3

concerning the qualifying criminal activity of which he or she has been a victim; the petitioner has been, is being, or is likely to be helpful to an investigation or prosecution of that qualifying criminal activity; and the qualifying criminal activity violated U.S. law, or occurred in the United States, its territories, its possessions, Indian country, or at military installations abroad.

In addition, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.14(c)(4), prescribes the evidentiary standards and burden of proof in these proceedings:

The burden shall be on the petitioner to demonstrate eligibility for U-1 nonimmigrant status. The petitioner may submit any credible evidence relating to his or her Form I-918 for consideration by [U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS)]. USCIS shall conduct a de novo review of all evidence submitted in connection with Form I-918 and may investigate any aspect of the petition. Evidence previously submitted for this or other immigration benefit or relief may be used by USCIS in evaluating the eligibility of a petitioner for U-1 nonimmigrant status. However, USCIS will not be bound by its previous factual determinations. USCIS will determine, in its sole discretion, the evidentiary value of previously or concurrently submitted evidence, including Form I-918, Supplement B, "U Nonimmigrant Status Certification."

#### *Pertinent Facts and Procedural History*

As the facts and procedural history were adequately documented in our previous decision, we shall repeat only certain facts as necessary. The petitioner is a native and citizen of Nepal who entered the United States on December 14, 2007 as a nonimmigrant worker. On November 3, 2011, the petitioner filed a Form I-918 U petition with an accompanying Form I-918 Supplement B that was not signed or dated by a certifying official. The director denied the petition because the petitioner failed to submit a properly certified Form I-918 Supplement B. The petitioner timely appealed. In its March 8, 2013 decision on appeal, incorporated here by reference, the AAO found that because the petitioner did not submit a properly executed Form I-918 Supplement B, he failed to establish the eligibility criteria at subsections 101(a)(15)(U)(i)(I) – (IV) of the Act.

On motion, the petitioner submits an affidavit, a copy of a letter to a District Judge asking for certification, a court order, and copies of documents already included in the record. The petitioner's submission meets the requirements for a motion to reopen. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(2). In his affidavit in support of the motion, the petitioner claims that he was the victim of perjury, involuntary servitude, and retaliation by his former employer. He states he is unable to get a properly certified Form I-918 Supplement B because he is pursuing his case in the U.S. federal court.

The petitioner has failed to submit a Form I-918 Supplement B from a certifying agency and signed by a certifying official. An affidavit from the petitioner and a copy of a letter requesting certification may not be accepted in lieu of the law enforcement certification required by the statute at section 214(p)(1) of the Act. We recognize the difficulties that a petitioner may face in obtaining a law enforcement certification; however, USCIS lacks the authority to waive the statutory requirement for the certification at section 214(p)(1) of the Act. As the petitioner has failed to submit the certification required by section 214(p)(1) of

the Act, he has not overcome the director's or AAO's decisions. The petitioner is consequently ineligible for nonimmigrant classification pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(U)(i) of the Act.

*Conclusion*

The petitioner has not complied with the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.14(c)(2)(i) regarding the submission of required initial evidence. The petitioner is consequently ineligible for nonimmigrant classification pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(U)(i) of the Act and his petition must remain denied.

In visa petition proceedings, it is the petitioner's burden to establish eligibility for the immigration benefit sought. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361; *Matter of Otiende*, 26 I&N Dec. 127, 128 (BIA 2013). Here, that burden has not been met.

**ORDER:** The motion is granted. The appeal remains dismissed and the petition remains denied.