

(b)(6)

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)  
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

[Redacted]

Date: **OCT 22 2013** Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER FILE: [Redacted]

IN RE: PETITIONER: [Redacted]

PETITION: Petition for U Nonimmigrant Classification as a Victim of a Qualifying Crime Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(U) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(U)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:  
[Redacted]

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) in your case.

This is a non-precedent decision. The AAO does not announce new constructions of law nor establish agency policy through non-precedent decisions. If you believe the AAO incorrectly applied current law or policy to your case or if you seek to present new facts for consideration, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen, respectively. Any motion must be filed on a Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B) within 33 days of the date of this decision. **Please review the Form I-290B instructions at <http://www.uscis.gov/forms> for the latest information on fee, filing location, and other requirements. See also 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. Do not file a motion directly with the AAO.**

Thank you,

for Ron Rosenberg  
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The Director, Vermont Service Center (the director), denied the U nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will remain denied.

The petitioner seeks nonimmigrant classification under section 101(a)(15)(U) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(U), as an alien victim of certain qualifying criminal activity.

The director denied the Form I-918 U petition because although the petitioner met the criteria for U-1 nonimmigrant status at section 101(a)(15)(U)(i)(I) of the Act, the petitioner was inadmissible to the United States and his request for a waiver of inadmissibility (Form I-192, Application for Advance Permission to Enter as Nonimmigrant) had been denied.<sup>1</sup>

*Applicable Law*

Section 101(a)(15)(U) of the Act, provides for U nonimmigrant classification to alien victims of certain criminal activity who assist government officials in investigating or prosecuting such criminal activity. Section 212(d)(14) of the Act requires U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) to determine whether any grounds of inadmissibility exist when adjudicating a Form I-918 U petition, and provides USCIS with the authority to waive certain grounds of inadmissibility as a matter of discretion.

Section 212(a) of the Act sets forth the grounds of inadmissibility to the United States, and states, in pertinent part:

(6) Illegal Entrants and Immigration Violators

(A) Aliens Present Without Permission or Parole

- (i) In General.-An alien present in the United States without being admitted or paroled, or who arrives in the United States at any time or place other than as designated by the Attorney General, is inadmissible.

*Factual and Procedural History*

The petitioner is a native and citizen of El Salvador who entered the United States in May 1999 without inspection or parole. The petitioner filed the Form I-918 U petition on July 15, 2010. On October 18, 2010, the petitioner filed a Form I-192. The director issued a Request for Evidence (RFE) on June 13, 2011 regarding the Form I-192, noting that the petitioner was inadmissible to the United States. The petitioner, through counsel, responded with additional evidence. On May 30, 2012, the director denied the Form I-918

---

<sup>1</sup> The petitioner's second Form I-192, received on August 3, 2012, 2013, Rec. Num. EAC 12 217 50596, was also denied.

U petition and the Form I-192. In his decision on the Form I-918 U petition, the director stated that although the petitioner met the criteria for U-1 nonimmigrant status at section 101(a)(15)(U)(i)(I) of the Act, he was inadmissible to the United States and his request for a waiver of inadmissibility had been denied. The director determined that the petitioner was inadmissible under section 212(a)(6)(A)(i) (present without admission or parole) of the Act. The petitioner, through counsel, appealed the denial of the Form I-918 U petition. On appeal, counsel asserts that even though the petitioner has been arrested on multiple occasions, the director gave too much weight to his arrest record when adjudicating his Form I-192.

### *Analysis*

All nonimmigrants must establish their admissibility to the United States or show that any grounds of inadmissibility have been waived. 8 C.F.R. § 214.1(a)(3)(i). For aliens seeking U nonimmigrant status who are inadmissible to the United States, the regulations at 8 C.F.R. §§ 212.17, 214.14(c)(2)(iv) require the filing of a Form I-192 in conjunction with a Form I-918 U petition in order to waive any ground of inadmissibility. The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 212.17(b)(3) states in pertinent part: "There is no appeal of a decision to deny a waiver." As the AAO does not have jurisdiction to review whether the director properly denied the Form I-192, the only issue before the AAO is whether the director was correct in finding the petitioner inadmissible to the United States and, therefore, requiring an approved Form I-192 pursuant to 8 C.F.R. §§ 212.17, 214.14(c)(2)(iv).

The director found the petitioner inadmissible under section 212(a)(6)(A)(i) of the Act. The petitioner indicated on his Form I-918 U petition that he entered the United States in May 1999 without inspection. A full review of the record supports the director's determination that the petitioner is inadmissible under section 212(a)(6)(A)(i) of the Act for being present without admission or parole.

On appeal, counsel does not contest the petitioner's inadmissibility but instead focuses her assertions on why the director should have favorably exercised his discretion and approved the petitioner's Form I-192 waiver request. The director denied the petitioner's application for a waiver of inadmissibility and we have no jurisdiction to review the denial of a Form I-192 submitted in connection with a Form I-918 U petition. 8 C.F.R. § 212.17(b)(3).

### *Conclusion*

In visa petition proceedings, it is the petitioner's burden to establish eligibility for the immigration benefit sought. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361; *Matter of Otiende*, 26 I&N Dec. 127, 128 (BIA 2013). Although the petitioner has met the statutory eligibility requirements for U nonimmigrant classification, he has not established that he is admissible to the United States or that his ground of inadmissibility has been waived. He is consequently ineligible for nonimmigrant classification under section 101(a)(15)(U)(i) of the Act, pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.1(a)(3)(i).

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. The petition remains denied.