

identity data deleted to
prevent identity unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass Ave., N.W., Rm. A3042
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services


PUBLIC COPY



[Handwritten signature]

JAN 25 2005

FILE: WAC 03 213 53345 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date:

IN RE: Petitioner: 
Beneficiary: 

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:


INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann
Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The director of the service center denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will be denied.

The petitioner is an insurance company that seeks to employ the beneficiary as a human resource specialist. The petitioner, therefore, endeavors to classify the beneficiary as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The director denied the petition because the proffered position is not a specialty occupation and the beneficiary does not meet any of the listed criteria to qualify to perform services in the occupation. On appeal, counsel submits a brief.

Section 214(i)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(i)(1), defines the term "specialty occupation" as an occupation that requires:

- (A) theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and
- (B) attainment of a bachelor's or higher degree in the specific specialty (or its equivalent) as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A), to qualify as a specialty occupation, the position must meet one of the following criteria:

- (1) A baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the particular position;
- (2) The degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations or, in the alternative, an employer may show that its particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree;
- (3) The employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position; or
- (4) The nature of the specific duties is so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) interprets the term "degree" in the criteria at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A) to mean not just any baccalaureate or higher degree, but one in a specific specialty that is directly related to the proffered position.

The record of proceeding before the AAO contains: (1) Form I-129 and supporting documentation; (2) the director's request for additional evidence; (3) the petitioner's response to the director's request; (4) the director's denial letter; and (5) Form I-290B and supporting documentation. The AAO reviewed the record in its entirety before issuing its decision.

The petitioner is seeking the beneficiary's services as a human resource specialist. Evidence of the beneficiary's duties includes: the Form I-129; the attachments accompanying the Form I-129; the company support letter; and the petitioner's response to the director's request for evidence. According to this evidence, the beneficiary would perform duties that include the creation, development and implementation of the human resource area; be in charge of the general evaluation of new employees of petitioner's business; handle the various psychological testing and interpretations and interviews and evaluations pertaining to new and old employees; maintain and update the personnel records; plan, develop and implement company policy regarding employees; deal directly with employee related issues; and counsel employees. The petitioner stated that a candidate for the proffered position must possess a bachelor's degree or its equivalent and have knowledge of human behavior.

The director determined that the proffered position was not a specialty occupation. The director found that the duties of the proffered position are associated with human resource clerks and specialists, payroll clerks and general managers. The Department of Labor's *Occupational Outlook Handbook* (the *Handbook*) explains that these occupations do not require a bachelor's degree in a specific specialty. The director responded to counsel's citation of the *Dictionary of Occupational Titles* (*DOT*), and counsel's assertion "the *DOT* also lists the SVP (Specific Vocational Preparation) levels for a position as 8; in other words, [sic] a degree is required at entry level." The director stated that the *DOT* is not considered a persuasive source of information regarding whether a particular job requires the attainment of a bachelor's degree or higher in a specific specialty, or its equivalent, as a minimum for entry into the occupation.

The director stated that the evidence submitted is insufficient to establish that the position being offered requires attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent and is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the particular position; the degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations; or that the position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by a individual with a degree; or that the employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position; or that the nature of the specific duties is so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree. The director stated the evidence of record is not persuasive to show that the job offered could not be performed by an experienced individual whose educational training falls short of a baccalaureate degree.

On appeal, counsel states that the proffered position is that of a human resource specialist because the duties "almost mirror the Department of Labor's own publication, the *DOT*." Additionally, counsel asserts "[t]he *OOH* is clearly referring to specific employer's needs and preferences in educational background, not the lack thereof. It simply states that a degree is required and what the preferred degree is in."

Upon review of the record, the petitioner has established none of the four criteria outlined in 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A). Therefore, the proffered position is not a specialty occupation.

The AAO considers the criteria at 8 C.F.R. §§ 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(1) and (2): a baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is the normal minimum requirement for entry into the particular position; a degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations; or a particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree. Factors often considered by CIS when determining these criteria include: whether the *Handbook* reports that the industry requires a degree; whether the industry's professional association has made a degree a minimum entry

requirement; and whether letters or affidavits from firms or individuals in the industry attest that such firms "routinely employ and recruit only degreed individuals." See *Shanti, Inc. v. Reno*, 36 F. Supp. 2d 1151, 1165 (D.Min. 1999)(quoting *Hird/Blaker Corp. v. Slattery*, 764 F. Supp. 872, 1102 (S.D.N.Y. 1991)).

In determining whether a position qualifies as a specialty occupation, CIS looks beyond the title of the position and determines, from a review of the duties of the position and any supporting evidence, whether the position actually requires the theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and the attainment of a baccalaureate degree in a specific specialty as the minimum for entry into the occupation as required by the Act.

In the denial, the director stated that the duties of the proffered position are associated with human resource clerks/specialists, payroll clerks, and general managers. Counsel asserts that the *DOT* shows that a bachelor's degree would be required for a human resources specialist. However, the *DOT* is not a persuasive source of information regarding whether a particular job requires the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree in a specific specialty, or its equivalent, as a minimum for entry into the occupation. The DOL has replaced the *DOT* with the *Occupational Information Network (O*Net)*. Both the *DOT* and *O*Net* provide only general information regarding the tasks and work activities associated with a particular occupation, as well as the education, training, and experience required to perform the duties of that occupation. The DOL's *Handbook* provides a more comprehensive description of the nature of a particular occupation and the education, training, and experience normally required to enter into and advance within the occupation. For this reason, CIS is not persuaded by a claim that the proffered position is a specialty occupation simply because it has a specific SVP rating in the *DOT*.

A thorough review of the *Handbook* discloses that the duties of the proffered position are performed by human resource clerks/specialists who recruit, evaluate and retain staff; perform management/employee liason; maintenance of company records including payroll; and development and maintenance of reports and recommendations.

The petitioner fails to establish the first criterion because the *Handbook* states that because of the diversity of duties and levels of responsibility, the educational backgrounds of human resources, training, and labor relations managers and specialists vary considerably. In filling entry-level jobs, employers usually seek college graduates. Many prefer applicants who have majored in human resources, personnel administration, or industrial and labor relations. Others look for college graduates with a technical or business background or a well-rounded liberal arts education. Accordingly, the petitioner cannot establish that a baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent in a specific specialty is the normal minimum requirement for entry into the proffered position.

To establish the second criterion - that a specific degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations or, in the alternative, an employer may show that this particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree. On appeal, counsel states that the petitioner "asserts and contends that the duties described in the submission of the petition and expanded upon in the request for evidence, clearly establish that the duties to be performed by the beneficiary are so complex in nature as to require the services of an individual with at least a baccalaureate degree in a related field." Counsel refers to his response to the director's request for evidence in that "we

directed [CIS's] attention to the DOL's DOT, the Federal Register and the SOC O*Net." Counsel asserts "[t]hese sources more or less are unambiguous in the conclusion that position is professional."

Counsel's assertions are not persuasive. No evidence is in the record that would show the proffered position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree in a specific specialty. Again, the *Handbook* reveals that the proffered position is performed by human resources, training and labor relations managers and specialists, positions which do not require a bachelor's degree in a specific specialty.

Nor is there evidence in the record to establish the third criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A): that the petitioner normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position.

The fourth criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A) requires that the petitioner establish that the nature of the specific duties is so specialized and complex that the knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree. Once again, the *Handbook* reveals that the proffered position is performed by human resources, training and labor relations managers and specialist occupations not requiring a bachelor's degree in a specific specialty.

Additionally, the director determined that the beneficiary is not qualified to perform the duties of a specialty occupation. On appeal, counsel submits a brief:

Section 214(i)(2) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(i)(2), states that an alien applying for classification as an H-1B nonimmigrant worker must possess full state licensure to practice in the occupation, if such licensure is required to practice in the occupation, and completion of the degree in the specialty that the occupation requires. If the alien does not possess the required degree, the petitioner must demonstrate that the alien has experience in the specialty equivalent to the completion of such degree, and recognition of expertise in the specialty through progressively responsible positions relating to the specialty.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(C), to qualify to perform services in a specialty occupation, an alien must meet one of the following criteria:

- (1) Hold a United States baccalaureate or higher degree required by the specialty occupation from an accredited college or university;
- (2) Hold a foreign degree determined to be equivalent to a United States baccalaureate or higher degree required by the specialty occupation from an accredited college or university;
- (3) Hold an unrestricted state license, registration or certification which authorizes him or her to fully practice the specialty occupation and be immediately engaged in that specialty in the state of intended employment; or
- (4) Have education, specialized training, and/or progressively responsible experience that is equivalent to completion of a United States baccalaureate or higher degree in the specialty occupation, and have recognition of expertise in the specialty through progressively responsible positions directly related to the specialty.

The beneficiary does not hold a baccalaureate degree from an accredited U.S. college or university in any field of study. Thus, the petitioner must demonstrate that the beneficiary meets the criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(C)(4).

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(D), equating the beneficiary's credentials to a United States baccalaureate or higher degree shall be determined by one or more of the following:

- (1) An evaluation from an official who has authority to grant college-level credit for training and/or experience in the specialty at an accredited college or university which has a program for granting such credit based on an individual's training and/or work experience;
- (2) The results of recognized college-level equivalency examinations or special credit programs, such as the College Level Examination Program (CLEP), or Program on Noncollegiate Sponsored Instruction (PONSI);
- (3) An evaluation of education by a reliable credentials evaluation service which specializes in evaluating foreign educational credentials;
- (4) Evidence of certification or registration from a nationally-recognized professional association or society for the specialty that is known to grant certification or registration to persons in the occupational specialty who have achieved a certain level of competence in the specialty;
- (5) A determination by the Service that the equivalent of the degree required by the specialty occupation has been acquired through a combination of education, specialized training, and/or work experience in areas related to the specialty and that the alien has achieved recognition of expertise in the specialty occupation as a result of such training and experience.

In its initial petition, the petitioner submitted an evaluation from Mr. [REDACTED] Credentials Evaluator, former Assistant Director of International Admissions at the University of Southern California and Director of Evaluations of the Foundation for International Services, Inc. Mr. [REDACTED] valuation stated that the beneficiary's foreign diploma is the equivalent to a bachelor's degree in behavioral sciences from an accredited university in the United States. The director found that the degree was not closely related to the discipline, and found the beneficiary unqualified.

Based on the evidentiary record, the director was incorrect in determining that the majority of the courses the beneficiary attended in pursuit of her baccalaureate degree cannot be found to relate to the position offered. On appeal, counsel quotes the following statement from the 2002-2003 *OOH* ". . . because an interdisciplinary background is appropriate in this field, a combination of courses in the social sciences, business, and behavioral sciences is useful . . ." The evidence is sufficient to establish that the beneficiary has the equivalent of the degree required by the occupation. However as related in the discussion above, and by the statement from the *Handbook*, the petitioner has failed to establish that the proffered position is a specialty occupation. Accordingly, the AAO shall not disturb the director's denial of the petition.

WAC 03 213 53345

Page 7

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. The petition is denied.