



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services



82

Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY




FILE: SRC 04 065 52662 Office: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER Date: **JAN 30 2006**

IN RE: Petitioner: 
Beneficiary: 

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The service center director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner is a bus line. It seeks to employ the beneficiary as an operations manager, and endeavors to classify him as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v), an appeal shall be summarily dismissed if the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. The director determined that the proffered position was not a specialty occupation. Accordingly, the petition was denied.

On appeal, the petitioner states that it has complied with regulatory requirements to qualify the proffered position as a specialty occupation, and submitted financial documentation to establish that it has "sufficient resources to pay the beneficiary." Whether the petitioner has sufficient resources to pay the beneficiary is not an issue to be considered when determining whether a position qualifies as a specialty occupation. The petitioner did not specifically identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact by the director upon which the appeal is based. The appellant must do more than simply file an appeal. It must clearly demonstrate the basis for the appeal. This, the appellant has failed to do. As such, the appeal must be dismissed.

The burden of proof in this proceeding rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.