

**identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**


U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Massachusetts Ave. N.W., Rm. 3000
Washington, DC 20529




U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

D4




FILE: WAC 07 145 53264 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: **JUL 31 2007**

IN RE: Petitioner: 
Beneficiaries: 

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

for 
Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be sustained and the petition will be approved.

The petitioner is a store that sells Mexican food, products and services. It desires to employ the beneficiaries as store clerks pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(H)(ii)(b) for the period from April 20, 2007 to November 30, 2007. The Department of Labor (DOL) determined that the petitioner had submitted insufficient evidence for the issuance of a temporary labor certification by the Secretary of Labor. The acting director determined that the petitioner had not overcome the objections addressed in the DOL's decision and denied the petition.

On appeal, the petitioner states that it has complied with all of the procedural filing requirements mandated by the United States DOL and the United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS).

Upon review, the AAO finds that the I-129, Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker (Form I-129) was filed on April 16, 2007. In his decision, the director stated that the petitioner did not submit a certification from the Secretary of Labor stating that qualified workers in the United States are not available and that the alien's employment will not adversely affect wages and working conditions of similarly employed United States workers; or a notice detailing the reasons why such certification cannot be made. In addition, the director noted that the petitioner provided insufficient evidence to document a temporary need.

On appeal, the petitioner has overcome the concerns addressed in the director's and the DOL's decisions. Moreover, sufficient countervailing evidence has been submitted to show that qualified persons in the United States are not available, that the employment policies of the Department of Labor have been observed and that the petitioner's need for the beneficiaries' services is seasonal and temporary.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. Here, the petitioner has met that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is sustained.