

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

**PUBLIC COPY**

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U. S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
Office of Administrative Appeals MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

D 6

FILE:

Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

Date: **FEB 03 2010**

IN RE:

Petitioner:

Beneficiary:

PETITION: Petition for Alien Fiancé(e) Pursuant to § 101(a)(15)(K) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(K)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. Please refer to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5 for the specific requirements. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$585. Any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required by 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

Perry Rhew  
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The Director, Vermont Service Center, denied the nonimmigrant visa petition. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is a citizen of the United States who seeks to classify the beneficiary, a native and citizen of the Philippines, as the fiancé(e) of a United States citizen pursuant to § 101(a)(15)(K) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(K).

The director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition because the record contains no evidence that the petitioner and the beneficiary personally met within the two-year period immediately preceding the filing of the petition or that the petitioner qualified for a waiver of that requirement. On appeal, the petitioner states that he was unable to personally meet the beneficiary within the two-year period immediately preceding the filing of the petition because of the hardships caused by his ex-wife, which limited his free time and money. As supporting documentation, the petitioner submits: police records for incidents reported on June 30, 2008 and July 18, 2008, respectively, naming the petitioner as the victim and his "ex-wife" as the suspect, related to obtaining money/property by false pretenses, and property damage; a domestic violence order of protection filed on July 16, 2008, by the petitioner and/or on behalf of his child, [REDACTED], versus his spouse, [REDACTED] a child custody order filed on November 7, 2008; invoices reflecting legal fees related to the petitioner's divorce; copies of airline boarding passes dated April 28, 2009, for a domestic flight taken by the petitioner and his minor child; and a copy of his previously submitted divorce decree.

A "fiancé(e)" is defined at Section 101(a)(15)(K) of the Act as:

Subject to subsections (d) and (p) of section 214, an alien who -

(i) is the fiancée or fiancé of a citizen of the United States . . . and who seeks to enter the United States solely to conclude a valid marriage with the petitioner within ninety days after admission.

Section 214(d)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(d)(1), states in pertinent part that a fiancé(e) petition:

[s]hall be approved only after satisfactory evidence is submitted by the petitioner to establish that the parties have previously met in person within 2 years before the date of filing the petition, have a bona fide intention to marry, and are legally able and actually willing to conclude a valid marriage in the United States within a period of ninety days after the alien's arrival . . . .

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(k)(2), the petitioner may be exempted from this requirement for a meeting if it is established that compliance would:

- (1) result in extreme hardship to the petitioner; or
- (2) that compliance would violate strict and long-established customs of the beneficiary's foreign culture or social practice, as where marriages are traditionally arranged by the parents of the contracting parties and the

prospective bride and groom are prohibited from meeting subsequent to the arrangement and prior to the wedding day. In addition to establishing that the required meeting would be a violation of custom or practice, the petitioner must also establish that any and all other aspects of the traditional arrangements have been or will be met in accordance with the custom or practice.

The regulation does not define what may constitute extreme hardship to the petitioner. Therefore, each claim of extreme hardship must be judged on a case-by-case basis taking into account the totality of the petitioner's circumstances. Generally, a director looks at whether the petitioner can demonstrate the existence of circumstances that are (1) not within the power of the petitioner to control or change, and (2) likely to last for a considerable duration or the duration cannot be determined with any degree of certainty.

The petitioner filed the Petition for Alien Fiancé(e) (Form I-129F) with U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) on February 23, 2009. Therefore, the petitioner and the beneficiary were required to have met in person between February 23, 2007 and February 23, 2009.

When he filed the petition, the petitioner responded "No" to question #18 on the I-129F Petition that asks whether he and the beneficiary had met in person within the two-year period immediately preceding the filing of the petition. The petitioner stated that his job did not allow him to take off time to go to the Philippines, and that he had to care for his three-year-old daughter.

On July 20, 2009, the director issued a Request for Evidence (RFE), requesting that the petitioner submit: evidence that he and the beneficiary had met in person within the two-year period immediately preceding the filing of the petition; proof of his U.S. citizenship; proof of the termination of his prior marriage; a passport-style photograph of himself; and properly completed and signed G-325A, Biographic Information, forms for himself and the beneficiary.

In his July 28, 2009 response to the director's RFE, the petitioner provided a personal statement and the following: evidence of his trip to the Philippines in May 2009 to meet the beneficiary; a certificate of absolute divorce or annulment, reflecting that he and [REDACTED] were divorced on October 30, 2008; G-325A forms for himself and the beneficiary; transaction records of money sent to the beneficiary in the Philippines; a passport-style photograph of himself; and photographs of himself with the beneficiary.

The director denied the petition because the petitioner failed to establish that he and the beneficiary had met, as required under section 214(d) of the Act, or that he qualified for an exemption from this meeting requirement, pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(k)(2).

On appeal, the petitioner states that he was unable to personally meet the beneficiary within the two-year period immediately preceding the filing of the petition because of the hardships caused by his ex-wife, which limited his free time and money. Taking into account the totality of the circumstances as the petitioner has presented them, the AAO does not find that compliance with the meeting requirement would have resulted in hardship to the petitioner. The petitioner's problems with his ex-wife are not sufficient to waive the requirement of an in-person meeting with the beneficiary within

the two years immediately preceding the filing of the petition. In view of the foregoing, the appeal will be dismissed.

The denial of the petition is without prejudice. Should the petitioner wish to file a new I-129F Petition, the petitioner should consult the instructions to the Form I-129F to understand the specific documents that he should file along with the petition. The petitioner may download the I-129F petition with the instructions from the USCIS website at [www.uscis.gov](http://www.uscis.gov), or he may call the USCIS National Customer Service Center (NCSC) at 1-800-375-5283 to have the form and the instructions mailed to his home.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not met that burden.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. The petition is denied.