

**identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**

PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



**U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services**

DS

DATE:

OFFICE: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

FILE: [REDACTED]

MAR 14 2012

IN RE:

Petitioner:

Beneficiary: [REDACTED]

PETITION: Petition for Alien Fiancé(e) Pursuant to § 101(a)(15)(K) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(K)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$630. Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jerry Rhew".

Jerry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The service center director (the director) denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is a citizen of the United States who seeks to classify the beneficiary, a citizen of the Philippines, as the fiancé(e) of a United States citizen pursuant to § 101(a)(15)(K) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(K).

The director denied the petition pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(8)(ii) because the petitioner did not submit required initial evidence. On appeal, the petitioner submits additional evidence.

Applicable Law

A “fiancé(e)” is defined at section 101(a)(15)(K) of the Act as someone who:

subject to subsections (d) and (p) of section 214, [is] an alien who—

- (i) is the fiancée or fiancé of a citizen of the United States . . . and who seeks to enter the United States solely to conclude a valid marriage with the petitioner within ninety days after admission[.]

Section 214(d)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(d)(1), states in pertinent part that a fiancé(e) petition:

shall be approved only after satisfactory evidence is submitted by the petitioner to establish that the parties have previously met in person within 2 years before the date of filing the petition, have a bona fide intention to marry, and are legally able and actually willing to conclude a valid marriage in the United States within a period of ninety days after the alien's arrival, except that the Secretary of Homeland Security in [her] discretion may waive the requirement that the parties have previously met in person. . . .

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(8)(ii) states that if all required initial evidence is not submitted with the petition or the petitioner does not demonstrate eligibility, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) may, in its discretion, deny the petition for lack of initial evidence. The specific requirements for filing a Petition for Alien Fiancé(e) (Form I-129F), including a description of the required initial evidence, may be found in the *Instructions* to the Form I-129F.¹

Pertinent Facts and Procedural History

The petitioner filed the instant petition on May 17, 2011 with no supporting evidence and it was consequently denied on September 6, 2011.

¹ The *Instructions* to the Form I-129F may be found online at the USCIS website at <http://www.uscis.gov/files/form/i-129finstr.pdf> (accessed February 28, 2012).

The AAO conducts appellate review on a *de novo* basis. *See Soltane v. DOJ*, 381 F.3d 143, 145 (3d Cir. 2004). Upon review of the entire record, we find that the petitioner has failed to overcome the director's ground for denying this petition.

Analysis

On appeal the petitioner submits some, but not all, required initial evidence. The record still lacks the following: (1) statements from the petitioner and the beneficiary regarding their mutual intent to marry within 90 days of the beneficiary's arrival in the United States; (2) two Forms G-325A, Biographic Information: one executed by the petitioner, and one executed by the beneficiary; (3) four passport-style color photographs: two of the petitioner, and two of the beneficiary; and evidence that the petitioner and the beneficiary met in person between May 17, 2009 and May 17, 2011. While the petitioner asserts that he has been to the Philippines at least twice a year since 2008 and was last in the Philippines in July 2010, he has not provided evidence of an in-person meeting between him and the beneficiary within the two-year period prior to the filing of the petition. Absent all required initial evidence, the petition cannot be approved.

Conclusion

The petitioner has still failed to fully submit all required initial evidence on appeal. Accordingly, the beneficiary is ineligible for nonimmigrant classification under section 101(a)(15)(K)(i) of the Act and this petition must remain denied.

In these proceedings, the petitioner bears the burden of proof to establish the beneficiary's eligibility by a preponderance of the evidence. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361; *Matter of Chawathe*, 25 I&N Dec. 369, 375 (AAO 2010). He has not met his burden and the appeal will be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.