

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy
PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U. S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave. N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090




U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services



D7

DATE: JUL 31 2012 OFFICE: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER FILE: 

IN RE: Petitioner: 
Beneficiary: 

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(L) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(L)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

Thank you,

Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, California Service Center, denied the petition for a nonimmigrant visa. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected as improperly filed.

The petitioner filed this nonimmigrant petition to extend the beneficiary's status as an L-1A intracompany transferee pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(L) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(L). The petitioner, a California corporation, states that it operates a gemstone trading business. It claims to be a subsidiary of [REDACTED] located in India. The petitioner currently employs the beneficiary in the position of president and requests a one-year extension of his L-1A status.

The director denied the petition on July 16, 2010 concluding that the petitioner failed to establish that it would employ the beneficiary in a qualifying managerial or executive capacity.

On August 9, 2010, a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, was filed by former counsel [REDACTED] without a new Form G-28, Notice of Entry of Appearance as Attorney or Representative signed by the petitioner.

Effective March 4, 2010, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 292.4(a) requires that a "new [Form G-28] must be filed with an appeal filed with the [AAO]." Title 8 C.F.R. § 292.4(a) further requires that the new Form G-28 "must be properly completed and signed by the petitioner, applicant, or respondent to authorize representation in order for the appearance to be recognized by DHS."

On June 19, 2012, this office mailed the petitioner and former counsel a notice requesting a new, properly executed Form G-28 personally signed by both counsel and by an authorized official of the petitioning entity. The AAO instructed counsel to submit the new Form G-28 within 15 days, and advised that failure to submit this document would result in the rejection of the appeal as improperly filed. As of this date, the AAO has not received any response to this written request.

Without a new, valid, and fully executed Form G-28, signed by an official of the petitioning entity, authorizing counsel to represent the petitioner in the proceeding of the instant appeal, the AAO cannot consider counsel to be the petitioner's attorney of record with regard to the appeal currently before it.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(A)(2)(i) provides in pertinent part that: "If an appeal is filed by an attorney or representative without a properly executed Notice of Entry of Appearance as Attorney or Representative (Form G-28) entitling that person to file the appeal, the appeal is considered improperly filed." In addition, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(A)(1) provides that: "An appeal filed by a person or entity not entitled to file it must be rejected as improperly filed."

The instant appeal must be considered as filed by a person not entitled to file the appeal and, therefore, must be rejected as improperly filed.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected.