

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass Ave., N.W., Rm. 3000
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

H2

PUBLIC COPY

[Redacted]

FILE: [Redacted] Office: PHOENIX, AZ Date: JUL 23 2007

IN RE: [Redacted]

APPLICATION: Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under Section 212(i) of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(i)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

[Redacted]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to
the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Acting District Director, Phoenix, Arizona, denied the waiver application and it is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The record reflects that, on November 1, 2005, the acting district director found that the applicant was inadmissible to the United States pursuant to section 212(a)(6)(C)(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act ("the Act"), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(C)(i), as an alien who attempted to enter the United States by fraud or misrepresentation and failed to establish that extreme hardship would be imposed on a qualifying relative. The acting district director also found that the applicant would not warrant a favorable exercise of discretion even if she had proven extreme hardship would be imposed on a qualifying relative. The acting district director denied the Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility (Form I-601) accordingly. *Decision of the Acting District Director*, dated November 1, 2005.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(v) states in pertinent part:

(v) Summary dismissal. An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The record reflects that, on November 18, 2005, counsel filed a Notice of Appeal to the Administrative Appeals Office (Form I-290B). On appeal, counsel simply asserts, "I-601 petition previously submitted demonstrated sufficient hardship to be approved" and submits copies of previously filed documentation. Counsel does not provide a brief or additional evidence with the Form I-290B.

Counsel fails to identify either on the Form I-290B or through submission of a brief or evidence any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact made by the acting district director. The applicant's appeal will therefore be summarily dismissed pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(v).

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.