

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
20 Mass. Ave., NW, Rm. 3000  
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**

H2

[REDACTED]

FILE:

[REDACTED]

Office: CLEVELAND, OH

Date: MAR 26 2007

IN RE:

[REDACTED]

APPLICATION:

Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under Section 212(i) of the  
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(i)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

[REDACTED]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The waiver application was denied by the District Director, Cleveland, Ohio and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Pakistan who was found to be inadmissible to the United States under section 212(a)(6)(C) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(C), for having attempted to procure entry into the United States by fraud or willful misrepresentation. The applicant is married and seeks a waiver of inadmissibility pursuant to section 212(i) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(i), in order to reside in the United States with his spouse and their U.S. citizen child.

The District Director concluded that the applicant was not eligible for a waiver as there is no evidence of a qualifying relative. *Decision of the District Director*, dated March 2, 2005. The applicant's waiver application is based upon his relationship to his U.S. citizen son, his lawful permanent resident grandfather, his U.S. citizen brother, and his U.S. citizen uncle. *Id*; *See also Form I-601*.

On appeal, counsel contends that the adjudicator did not consider the entire record and that the applicant warrants a favorable exercise of discretion. *Form I-290B; Attorney's brief*.

In support of these assertions, counsel submits a brief. The record also includes, but is not limited to, employment letters for the applicant; statements from family members; a letter from the pediatrician treating the applicant's son; tax returns for the applicant and his spouse; and financial statements. The entire record was reviewed and considered in rendering this decision.

Section 212(a)(6)(C) of the Act provides, in pertinent part, that:

- (i) Any alien who, by fraud or willfully misrepresenting a material fact, seeks to procure (or has sought to procure or has procured) a visa, other documentation, or admission into the United States or other benefit provided under this Act is inadmissible.

Section 212(i) of the Act provides that:

- (1) The Attorney General [now the Secretary of Homeland Security (Secretary)] may, in the discretion of the Attorney General [Secretary], waive the application of clause (i) of subsection (a)(6)(C) in the case of an alien who is the spouse, son or daughter of a United States citizen or of an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence, if it is established to the satisfaction of the Attorney General [Secretary] that the refusal of admission to the United States of such immigrant alien would result in extreme hardship to the citizen or lawfully resident spouse or parent of such an alien.

The record reflects that the applicant admitted in his adjustment of status interview to using another person's passport to gain admission to the United States. *Form I-485*. Based on the record, the AAO finds that the applicant willfully misrepresented a material fact to enter the United States and is therefore inadmissible under section 212(a)(6)(C) of the Act.

A section 212(i) waiver of inadmissibility resulting from a violation of section 212(a)(6)(C) of the Act is dependent first upon a showing that inadmissibility imposes extreme hardship to the citizen or lawfully resident spouse or parent of the applicant. The record fails to indicate that the applicant has a U.S. citizen or lawfully permanent resident spouse or parent. The applicant's U.S. citizen child is not a qualifying relative in this case, nor is his brother, grandfather or uncle. The AAO concurs with the decision of the District Director that the applicant has no qualifying relative and therefore is not eligible for a Form I-601 waiver.

Having found the applicant statutorily ineligible for relief, no purpose would be served in discussing whether he merits a waiver as a matter of discretion.

In proceedings for application for waiver of grounds of inadmissibility under section 212(a)(6)(C) of the Act, the burden of proving eligibility remains entirely with the applicant. *See* Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. Here, the applicant has not met that burden. Accordingly, the appeal will be dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.