

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

PUBLIC COPY

H3

AUG 10 2007

FILE: [REDACTED] Office: CIUDAD JUAREZ, MEXICO  
(CDJ 1993 553 440 relates)

Date:

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under section 212(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(i), and section 212(a)(9)(B)(v) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(v)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The waiver application was denied by the Officer in Charge, Ciudad Juarez, Mexico. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The applicant, a citizen of Mexico, was found inadmissible to the United States under section 212(a)(6)(C)(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(C)(i), for seeking to procure a visa, other documentation, or admission into the United States or other benefit provided under the Act by fraud or willful misrepresentation. The applicant seeks a waiver of inadmissibility pursuant to section 212(i) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(i). The applicant was also found inadmissible under section 212(a)(9)(B)(i)(II) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(i)(II), for having been unlawfully present in the United States for more than one year. The applicant, therefore, also seeks a waiver of inadmissibility pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(B)(v) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(v).

The district director concluded that the applicant had failed to establish that extreme hardship would be imposed on any qualifying relatives and denied the Form I-601, Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility (Form I-601).

The record reflects that the applicant's appeal contains an executed Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal (Form I-290B). The applicant, in part 3 of said form, details in Spanish the basis for the appeal; no English language translation has been included. The applicant submitted no other documentation with the Form I-290B. The applicant's notice of appeal will therefore be dismissed pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(3).

8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(3) states:

(3) Translations. Any document containing foreign language submitted to the Service [now the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS)] shall be accompanied by a full English language translation which the translator has certified as complete and accurate, and by the translator's certification that he or she is competent to translate from the foreign language into English.

In addition, on the Form I-290B, the applicant indicated that he would be submitting a separate brief and/or evidence to the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) within 30 days. To date, this office has not received any further evidence or brief with regard to this appeal.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) states in pertinent part that:

(v) Summary dismissal. An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal....

The AAO finds that the applicant's appeal fails to identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in the officer in charge's decision. The appeal is therefore summarily dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is summarily dismissed.