

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY



U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U. S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Office of Administrative Appeals, MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090

U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

HLU



FILE: [REDACTED] Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER Date: APR 24 2009
RELATES)

IN RE: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission into the United States after
Deportation or Removal under section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and
Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(iii)


ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. Please refer to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5 for the specific requirements. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$585. Any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required by 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).


John F. Grissom
Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, Vermont Service Center, denied the Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission into the United States after Deportation or Removal (Form I-212) and it is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Morocco who, on September 9, 1997, was placed into immigration proceedings after remaining in the United States past his authorized nonimmigrant stay, which expired on February 18, 1990. On January 14, 1998, the immigration judge ordered the applicant removed *in absentia*. On June 2, 1995, [REDACTED] filed a Petition for Alien Relative (Form I-130) on behalf of the applicant. On April 22, 1997, the Form I-130 was denied for failure to prove the applicant's marriage to [REDACTED] was *bona fide*.

On November 18, 2004, the applicant filed a motion to reopen and a stay of removal. On November 24, 2004, the stay was granted. On January 3, 2005, the immigration judge denied the motion to reopen and the stay of removal. On May 27, 2005, the applicant was removed from the United States and returned to Morocco, where he claims to have since resided. On January 23, 2006, the applicant filed the Form I-212, indicating that he resided in Morocco. The applicant is inadmissible pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(A)(ii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(ii). He seeks permission to reapply for admission into the United States under section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(iii) in order to reside in the United States with his current U.S. citizen spouse.

The director determined that the applicant did not warrant a favorable exercise of discretion and denied the Form I-212 accordingly. *See Director's Decision*, dated August 18, 2006.

On appeal, counsel contends that the applicant's separation from his family is causing them hardship. *See Form I-290B*, dated September 19, 2006. In support of his contentions, counsel submits only the referenced Form I-290B. On the Form I-290B, counsel indicates that he will forward additional evidence and/or a brief within thirty days. The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(viii) and the instructions to Form I-290B require the affected party to submit the brief or evidence directly to the AAO, not to the Vermont Service Center or any other federal office. The record does not contain the brief and/or evidence that counsel indicated would be submitted to the AAO. Even if counsel were to submit evidence that a brief was filed with an office other than the AAO, the AAO would not consider the brief on appeal because counsel failed to follow the regulations or the instructions for the proper filing location. Accordingly the record is complete. The entire record was reviewed in rendering a decision in this case.

Section 212(a)(9) of the Act states in pertinent part:

(A) Certain aliens previously removed.-

- (i) Arriving aliens.- Any alien who has been ordered removed under section 235(b)(1) or at the end of proceedings under section 240 initiated upon the alien's arrival in the United States and who again seeks admission within five years of the date of such removal (or within 20 years in the case of a second or subsequent removal or at any time in the case of an alien convicted of an aggravated felony) is inadmissible.

- (ii) Other aliens.-Any alien not described in clause (i) who-
 - (I) has been ordered removed under section 240 or any other provision of law, or
 - (II) departed the United States while an order of removal was outstanding, and who seeks admission within 10 years of the date of such alien's departure or removal (or within 20 years of such date in the case of a second or subsequent removal or at any time in the case on a alien convicted of an aggravated felony) is inadmissible.
- (iii) Exception.- Clauses (i) and (ii) shall not apply to an alien seeking admission within a period if, prior to the date of the alien's reembarkation at a place outside the United States or attempt to be admitted from foreign contiguous territory, the Secretary has consented to the alien's reapplying for admission.

(C) Aliens unlawfully present after previous immigration violations.-

- (i) In general.-Any alien who-
 - (I) has been unlawfully present in the United States for an aggregate period of more than 1 year, or
 - (II) has been ordered removed under section 235(b)(1), section 240, or any other provision of law, and who enters or attempts to reenter the United States without being admitted is inadmissible.
- (ii) Exception.- Clause (i) shall not apply to an alien seeking admission more than 10 years after the date of the alien's last departure from the United States if, prior to the alien's reembarkation at a place outside the United States or attempt to be readmitted from a foreign contiguous territory, the Secretary has consented to the alien's reapplying for admission. The Secretary, in the Secretary's discretion, may waive the provisions of section 212(a)(9)(C)(i) in the case of an alien to whom the Secretary has granted classification under clause (iii), (iv), or (v) of section 204(a)(1)(A), or classification under clause (ii), (iii), or (iv) of section 204(a)(1)(B), in any case in which there is a connection between—
 - (1) the alien's having been battered or subjected to extreme cruelty; and
 - (2) the alien's--
 - (A) removal;

- (B) departure from the United States;
- (C) reentry or reentries into the United States; or
- (D) attempted reentry into the United States.

The applicant and counsel, by indicating on the Form I-212 that the applicant resides in Morocco, assert that the applicant has remained outside the United States and lived in Morocco since he was removed on May 27, 2005.¹

The AAO notes that the applicant is inadmissible pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(B)(i)(II) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(i)(II), for being unlawfully present in the United States for more than one year, from April 1, 1997, the date on which unlawful presence provisions were enacted, and May 27, 2005, the date on which he departed the United States, and is seeking admission within ten years of his last departure. To seek a waiver of inadmissibility under section 212(a)(9)(B)(v) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(v), an applicant must file an Application for Waiver of Ground of Inadmissibility (Form I-601).

As required by 8 C.F.R. § 212.2(d), an immigrant visa applicant who is outside the United States and requires both a waiver and permission to reapply for admission must simultaneously file the Form I-601 and the Form I-212 with the U.S. Consulate having jurisdiction over the applicant's place of residence. As the applicant has not complied with the regulatory requirements for filing the Form I-212, the application in this matter was improperly filed. Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.

¹ The AAO notes that if it is later confirmed that the applicant illegally reentered the United States at any time after his 2005 removal, he is inadmissible pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(C)(i) of the Act and is ineligible for permission to reapply for admission until he has remained outside the United States for a period of ten years. See *Matter of Torres-Garcia*, 23 I&N Dec. 866 (BIA 2006) and *Gonzales v. DHS (Gonzales II)*, 508 F.3d 1227 (9th Cir. 2007).