

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy  
**PUBLIC COPY**

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U. S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
Office of Administrative Appeals, MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

74



FILE: [REDACTED] Office: PHOENIX, AZ

Date: **AUG 03 2010**

IN RE: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission into the United States after Deportation or Removal under section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(iii)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$585. Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

Perry Rhew  
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The Field Office Director, Phoenix, Arizona, denied the Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission into the United States after Deportation or Removal (Form I-212) and it is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Mexico whose father, on March 11, 1997, filed an Application for Asylum or Withholding of Deportation (Form I-589) on which the applicant was a dependent. On July 7, 1997, the applicant's Form I-589 was referred to an immigration judge and the applicant was placed into immigration proceedings for entering the United States without inspection on January 1, 1993. On October 5, 1998, the applicant withdrew his application for asylum and the immigration judge granted the applicant voluntary departure until December 4, 1998. The applicant filed an appeal with the Board of Immigration Appeals (BIA). On April 30, 2002, the BIA dismissed the applicant's appeal and granted him thirty days of voluntary departure. The applicant failed to surrender for removal or depart from the United States, thereby changing the voluntary departure to a final order of removal.

On December 15, 2007, the applicant married his U.S. citizen spouse in Flagstaff, Arizona. On November 21, 2008, the applicant was removed from the United States and returned to Mexico, where he claims he has since resided.

On June 11, 2009, the applicant filed the Form I-212, indicating that he resided in the United States. On May 12, 2010, the applicant's spouse filed a Form I-130 indicating that the applicant resides in Mexico. The applicant is inadmissible pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(A)(ii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(ii). He seeks permission to reapply for admission into the United States under section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(iii) in order to reside in the United States with his U.S. citizen spouse and two U.S. citizen children.

On August 24, 2009, the field office director determined that the applicant is inadmissible pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(C)(i) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(C)(i), for illegally reentering the United States after having been removed. The field office director determined that the applicant was not eligible to apply for permission to reapply for admission because he had not remained outside the United States for the required ten years. The field office director denied the Form I-212 accordingly. *See Field Office Director's Decision*, dated August 24, 2009.

On appeal, the applicant contends that the address he provided was a post office box from which his mailed was forwarded to Mexico, where he has resided since he was removed from the United States. *See Form I-290B*, dated September 8, 2009. In support of his contentions, the applicant submits the referenced Form I-290B, a letter and various documents.<sup>1</sup> The entire record was reviewed in rendering a decision in this case.

Section 212(a)(9) of the Act states in pertinent part:

(A) Certain aliens previously removed.-

---

<sup>1</sup> The applicant submits various documents purportedly establishing that the applicant has remained outside the United States since his removal. The AAO notes that this evidence is entirely in the Spanish language and is not accompanied by an appropriate English translation, as is required by 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(3). The evidence is, therefore, insufficient to establish the applicant's current residence outside the United States.

- (i) Arriving aliens.- Any alien who has been ordered removed under section 235(b)(1) or at the end of proceedings under section 240 initiated upon the alien's arrival in the United States and who again seeks admission within five years of the date of such removal (or within 20 years in the case of a second or subsequent removal or at any time in the case of an alien convicted of an aggravated felony) is inadmissible.
- (ii) Other aliens.-Any alien not described in clause (i) who-
  - (I) has been ordered removed under section 240 or any other provision of law, or
  - (II) departed the United States while an order of removal was outstanding, and who seeks admission within 10 years of the date of such alien's departure or removal (or within 20 years of such date in the case of a second or subsequent removal or at any time in the case of an alien convicted of an aggravated felony) is inadmissible.
- (iii) Exception.- Clauses (i) and (ii) shall not apply to an alien seeking admission within a period if, prior to the date of the alien's reembarkation at a place outside the United States or attempt to be admitted from foreign contiguous territory, the [Secretary of Homeland Security] has consented to the alien's reapplying for admission.

....

(C) Aliens unlawfully present after previous immigration violations.-

- (i) In general.-Any alien who-
  - (I) has been unlawfully present in the United States for an aggregate period of more than 1 year, or
  - (II) has been ordered removed under section 235(b)(1), section 240, or any other provision of law, and who enters or attempts to reenter the United States without being admitted is inadmissible.

(ii) Exception.

Clause (i) shall not apply to an alien seeking admission more than 10 years after the date of the alien's last departure from the United States if, prior to the alien's reembarkation at a place outside the United States or attempt to

be readmitted from a foreign contiguous territory, the [Secretary] has consented to the alien's reapplying for admission.

(iii) Waiver

The [Secretary], in the [Secretary's] discretion, may waive the application of clause (i) in the case of an alien who is a VAWA self-petitioner if there is a connection between—

- (1) the alien's battering or subjection to extreme cruelty; and
- (2) the alien's removal, departure from the United States, reentry or reentries into the United States; or attempted reentry into the United States.

The record reflects that the applicant claims that he has remained outside the United States and lived in Mexico since November 21, 2008.<sup>2</sup>

The AAO notes that the applicant is inadmissible pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(B)(i)(II) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(i)(II), for accruing more than one year of unlawful presence in the United States, from May 18, 2006, the date on which he turned eighteen years of age, until November 21, 2008, the date on which he departed the United States, and is seeking admission within ten years of his last departure. To seek a waiver of this ground of inadmissibility under section 212(a)(9)(B)(v) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(v), an applicant must file an Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility (Form I-601).

As required by 8 C.F.R. § 212.2(d), an immigrant visa applicant who is outside the United States and requires both a waiver and permission to reapply for admission must simultaneously file the Form I-601 and the Form I-212 with the U.S. Consulate having jurisdiction over the applicant's place of residence. As the applicant has not complied with the regulatory requirements for filing the Form I-212, the application in this matter was improperly filed. Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.

---

<sup>2</sup> The AAO notes that, if it is later found that the applicant illegally reentered the United States *at any time* after his 2008 departure, he is inadmissible pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(C)(i) of the Act and is ineligible for permission to reapply for admission until he has remained outside the United States for a period of ten years. See *Matter of Torres-Garcia*, 23 I&N Dec. 866 (BIA 2006); *Matter of Briones*, 24 I&N Dec. 355 (BIA 2007); and *Matter of Diaz and Lopez*, 25 I&N Dec. 188 (BIA 2010). Since the applicant failed to provide proper evidence of his residence outside the United States since his removal he will be required to provide such evidence to the U.S. Consulate abroad and it will be within the U.S. Consulate's purview to determine whether the applicant is inadmissible under section 212(a)(9)(C) of the Act.