

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services Non-Precedent Decision of the Administrative Appeals Office

MATTER OF A-C-C-J-

DATE: SEPT. 8, 2015

APPEAL OF HARLINGEN FIELD OFFICE DECISION

APPLICATION: FORM I-212, APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION TO REAPPLY FOR ADMISSION INTO THE UNITED STATES AFTER DEPORTATION OR REMOVAL

The Applicant, a native and citizen of Nicaragua, seeks permission to reapply for admission into the United States. *See* Immigration and Nationality Act (INA, or the Act) § 212(a)(9)(A)(iii), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(iii). The Acting Field Office Director, Harlingen, Texas, denied the application. The matter is now before us on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The record establishes that the Applicant is a native and citizen of Nicaragua who entered the United States without inspection in 2004. She was ordered removed in absentia on June 10, 2004. The Applicant was removed on August 17, 2011. The Applicant seeks permission to reapply for admission into the United States in order to reside in the United States with her U.S. citizen spouse and child.

The acting field office director concluded that the Applicant did not merit a favorable exercise of discretion. The application was denied accordingly.

On appeal, the Applicant submitted the Form I-290B. The Applicant did not specifically identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in the Acting Field Office Director's decision on the Form I-290B. Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(vii) and (viii), an affected party may request additional time to file a brief, which is to be submitted directly to this office. We have not received any additional documents in support of the instant appeal.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1) states in pertinent part:

(v) *Summary dismissal*. An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

We find that the Applicant's appeal does not specifically identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in the Acting Field Office Director's decision. The appeal is therefore summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

Cite as *Matter of A-C-C-J-*, ID# 13200 (AAO Sept. 8, 2015)