

(b)(6)

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090




U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services




Date: **JUL 17 2013**

Office: NEWARK, NJ

FILE: 

IN RE:

Applicant: 

APPLICATION:

Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility pursuant to section 212(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(i)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:



INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) in your case.

This is a non-precedent decision. The AAO does not announce new constructions of law nor establish agency policy through non-precedent decisions. If you believe the AAO incorrectly applied current law or policy to your case or if you seek to present new facts for consideration, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen, respectively. Any motion must be filed on a Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B) within 33 days of the date of this decision. **Please review the Form I-290B instructions at <http://www.uscis.gov/forms> for the latest information on fee, filing location, and other requirements.** See also 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. **Do not file a motion directly with the AAO.**

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Ron Rosenberg".

Ron Rosenberg
Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Field Office Director, Newark, New Jersey, denied the waiver application and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Ghana who was found to be inadmissible to the United States pursuant to section 212(a)(6)(C)(i) of the Act for willful misrepresentation of a material fact in order to procure an immigration benefit. The applicant is married to a U.S. citizen and seeks a waiver of inadmissibility pursuant to section 212(i) of the Act in order to reside with her husband in the United States.

The field office director found that the applicant failed to establish extreme hardship to a qualifying relative and denied the application accordingly.

On appeal, in response to the question asking for the basis for the appeal, the Form I-290B states, in its entirety, "The decision by the Service is against the weight of evidence provided. Respondent will submit additional documents regarding the variations in her name and date of birth. Respondent alleges that the numerous inconsistencies are a result of sloppy paper work in Ghana. Respondent's intent was not to defraud the Service." The applicant checked the box stating that a brief and/or additional evidence would be submitted to the AAO within thirty days. *Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B)*, dated September 29, 2012. However, to date, the AAO has not received a brief or any additional documentation with respect to this appeal.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) states, in pertinent part:

Summary dismissal. An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The applicant does not describe with any specificity the variations in her name and date of birth, and no additional documents have been received by the AAO. As such, the applicant's appeal fails to specifically identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in the field office director's decision. In addition, the AAO notes that the field office director also found that the applicant claimed to be single when she was, in fact, married, and that she claimed different parents than those listed on her birth certificate. Counsel does not specifically address these inconsistencies, nor has counsel addressed the field office director's finding that the applicant failed to establish extreme hardship to a qualifying relative. Accordingly, the appeal must be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.