



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

41

[Redacted]

FILE:

[Redacted]
XPH-87-059-3026

Office: Nebraska Service Center

Date: OCT 18 2006

IN RE:

Applicant: [Redacted]

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to Section 245A of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

[Redacted]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that decided your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if your case was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The temporary resident status of the applicant was terminated by the Director, Western Regional Processing Facility. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant was deported on February 18, 1986. The director noted the applicant was outside of the United States under an order of deportation after January 1, 1982, and therefore did not reside continuously in the United States since such date.

On appeal, the applicant states that her attorney did not appear with her in February 1986 during the deportation proceedings. She indicates that, in light of this, Immigration and Naturalization Service employees advised her to go ahead and file this application for legalization.

An applicant for temporary residence must establish entry into the United States before January 1, 1982, and continuous residence in the United States in an unlawful status since such date and through the date the application is filed. Section 245A(a)(2) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a(a)(2). An alien shall not be considered to have resided continuously in the United States, if, during any period for which continuous residence is required, the alien was outside of the United States under an order of deportation. Section 245A(g)(2)(B)(i) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a(g)(2)(B)(i).

Because of the deportation, the applicant did not reside continuously in the United States as required.

The applicant implies that her previous attorney was at fault for not appearing at her deportation hearing. Regarding possible errors by previous counsel or the government, it is not within the authority of Citizenship and Immigration Services to pass judgement on prior judicial proceedings falling outside of its jurisdiction.

Congress provided no relief in the legalization program for failure to maintain continuous residence due to a departure under an order of deportation. Relief is provided in the Act for absences based on factors other than deportation, namely absences due to emergencies and absences approved under the advance parole provisions. Clearly, with respect to maintenance of continuous residence, it was not congressional intent to provide relief for absences under an order of deportation.

General grounds of inadmissibility are set forth in section 212(a) of the Act, and relate to any alien seeking a visa or admission into the United States, or adjustment of status. The applicant is inadmissible under section 212(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II), for having been deported and having returned to the United States without authorization. An alien's inadmissibility under section 212(a) of the Act, which may be waived, is an entirely separate issue from the continuous residence issue discussed above.

In summary, the applicant was out of the United States after January 1, 1982 under an order of deportation, and cannot qualify for temporary residence for two reasons. First and foremost, she failed to maintain continuous residence, and there is no waiver available. Therefore, she is ineligible for temporary residence. Secondly, she is inadmissible under section 212(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II) of the Act as an

alien who was deported and returned without permission. She filed an application for waiver of this inadmissibility. However, that application has been denied in a separate proceeding.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

FILE:

Office: Nebraska Service Center

Date: OCT 18 2006

LIN-04-099-51454

IN RE:

Applicant:

APPLICATION:

Application for Waiver of Inadmissibility pursuant to Section 245A of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned
to the office that originally decided your case.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "R. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, Nebraska Service Center granted a motion to reopen a termination of temporary residence that was filed by the applicant pursuant to a class action lawsuit entitled *Proyecto San Pablo v. INS*, No. Civ 89-456-TUC-WDB (D. Ariz.). The decision in that case allows an alien whose temporary residence was terminated because she had been outside of the United States after January 1, 1982 under an order of deportation to have that matter reopened. That decision also allows a class member to file a waiver application. The director has now denied the waiver application, and certified his decision to the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The decision will be affirmed.

The director denied the waiver application because the applicant was otherwise ineligible for temporary residence in the legalization program. The director determined that it would serve no purpose to grant a waiver that could not enable the applicant to gain temporary residence.

Neither counsel nor the applicant has responded to the certified decision. Earlier, the applicant established that her five children are United States citizens who are still young and in need of her.

The applicant was deported from the United States on February 18, 1986. She is inadmissible under section 212(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II), which relates to aliens who were deported and reentered the United States without authorization. Pursuant to section 245A(d)(2)(B)(i) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a(d)(2)(B)(i), such inadmissibility may be waived in the case of individual aliens for humanitarian purposes, to assure family unity, or when it is otherwise in the public interest.

The applicant asserts she has resided in the United States for over 25 years, and points out her children all reside here as U.S. citizens. Nevertheless, the director denied the waiver application because the applicant cannot otherwise qualify for temporary residence, as she fails to meet the "continuous residence" provision of the legalization program.

An applicant for temporary residence must establish entry into the United States before January 1, 1982, and continuous residence in the United States in an unlawful status since such date and through the date the application is filed. Section 245A(a)(2) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a(a)(2). An alien shall not be considered to have resided continuously in the United States, if, during any period for which continuous residence is required, the alien was outside of the United States under an order of deportation. Section 245A(g)(2)(B)(i) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a(g)(2)(B)(i).

Because she was deported on February 18, 1986, the applicant did not reside continuously in the United States for the requisite period. As a result, she is statutorily ineligible for temporary residence.

Relief is provided in the Act for absences based on factors other than deportation, specifically absences due to emergencies and absences approved under the advance parole provisions. However, concerning maintenance of continuous residence, it was not congressional intent to provide relief for absences under an order of deportation.

The general grounds of inadmissibility are set forth in section 212(a) of the Act, and relate to any alien seeking a visa or admission into the United States, or adjustment of status. An applicant's inadmissibility

under section 212(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II) for having been deported and having returned to the United States without authorization may be waived. However, an alien's inadmissibility under section 212(a) of the Act is an entirely separate issue from the continuous residence issue discussed above. While the applicant's failure to maintain continuous residence and her inadmissibility for having been deported and having returned without authorization are both based on the deportation, a waiver is available only for the inadmissibility.

The question has arisen as to why, if the above interpretation is correct, the law would allow for a waiver of inadmissibility in the case of a deported alien and yet provide no waiver for a lack of continuous residence, also based on a deportation. Clearly, not all aliens who were deported in the past failed to meet the continuous residence requirement. For example, an alien who was deported in 1979 and reentered the United States before January 1, 1982 would be inadmissible because of the deportation and yet would not be ineligible for legalization on the continuous residence issue.

In support of his decision to deny the waiver application because the applicant is otherwise ineligible for legalization, the director cited *Matter of Martinez-Torres*, 10 I&N Dec. 776 (Reg. Comm. 1964) and *Matter of J-F-D-*, 10 I&N Dec. 694 (Reg. Comm. 1963). While those decisions relate to applications for permission to reapply for admission after deportation, the decisions are on point and relevant to the current proceeding. In each case the Regional Commissioner found that no purpose would be served in waiving inadmissibility because the alien was ineligible for the overall benefit of lawful residence.

It is concluded that the director's decision to deny the waiver application because no purpose would be served in granting it was proper, logical and legally sound. Therefore, it shall remain undisturbed.

ORDER: The decision is affirmed, and the application remains denied.