



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

PHOTOCOPY

L1

[REDACTED]

FILE:

[REDACTED]

Office: DETROIT, MI

Date: DEC 20 2007

IN RE:

[REDACTED]

APPLICATION:

Application for Permanent Residence Pursuant to Section 245 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1255

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

[REDACTED]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the District Director, Detroit, Michigan, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

The record reflects that the applicant is a native and citizen of Canada. On September 9, 2005, the district director denied the applicant's Form I-485, Application to Register Permanent Resident or Adjust Status. The applicant filed a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal to the AAO, on October 11, 2005. Counsel for the applicant asserts that the district director found that the applicant was ineligible for a waiver of inadmissibility, and that the present appeal is from the denial of the applicant's Form I-601 application for a waiver. However, the record contains no Form I-601 application filed by the applicant or a denial of an I-601 by the district director. Nor has counsel provided copies of those documents. As noted above, the district director denied the applicant's Form I-485 application to adjust status. That is the only denial in the record that corresponds to the I-290B. The AAO does not have appellate jurisdiction over an appeal from the denial of an application for adjustment of status.

The authority to adjudicate appeals is delegated to the AAO by the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) pursuant to the authority vested in him through the Homeland Security Act of 2002, Pub. L. 107-296. See DHS Delegation Number 0150.1 (effective March 1, 2003); see also 8 C.F.R. § 2.1 (2003). The AAO exercises appellate jurisdiction over the matters described at 8 C.F.R. § 103.1(f)(3)(iii) (as in effect on February 28, 2003).

The AAO cannot exercise appellate jurisdiction over additional matters on its own volition, or at the request of an applicant or petitioner. As a "statement of general . . . applicability and future effect designed to implement, interpret, or prescribe law or policy," the creation of appeal rights for adjustment application denials meets the definition of an agency "rule" under section 551 of the Administrative Procedure Act. The granting of appeal rights has a "substantive legal effect" because it is creating a new administrative "right," and it involves an economic interest (the fee). "If a rule creates rights, assigns duties, or imposes obligations, the basic tenor of which is not already outlined in the law itself, then it is substantive." *La Casa Del Convaleciente*, 965 F.2d at 1178. All substantive or legislative rule making requires notice and comment in the Federal Register.

The AAO does not have jurisdiction over an appeal from the denial of a Form I-485 adjustment application filed under section 245 of the Immigration and Nationality Act. Accordingly, the appeal must be rejected.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected.