


**identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. 3000
Washington, DC 20529




U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY



41

FILE:



Office: SAN FRANCISCO

Date:

MAY 02 2008

MSC 05 348 12511

IN RE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION:

Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to Section 245A of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. The file has been returned to the National Benefits Center. If your appeal was sustained, or if your case was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for temporary resident status pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreements reached in *Catholic Social Services, Inc., et al., v. Ridge, et al.*, CIV. NO. S-86-1343-LKK (E.D. Cal) January 23, 2004, and *Felicity Mary Newman, et al., v. United States Immigration and Citizenship Services, et al.*, CIV. NO. 87-4757-WDK (C.D. Cal) February 17, 2004 (CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements), was denied by the District Director, San Francisco, California. The decision is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The district director denied the application on December 21, 2006, because the applicant failed to provide credible evidence that he had resided in the United States in a continuous unlawful status from before January 1, 1982, through May 4, 1988, and that he maintained continuous physical presence in the United States during the period from November 6, 1986, until the date of filing his application. The applicant filed the current appeal from that decision on January 23, 2007.

On appeal, the applicant requests that his application be reviewed. The applicant indicates on appeal that he waives the right to submit a written brief or statement.

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

A review of the decision reveals the district director accurately set forth a legitimate basis for denial of the application. On appeal, the applicant has not presented additional evidence. Nor has he addressed the grounds stated for denial of the application. Therefore, the appeal must be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility